

Order of the General Court of 15 July 2022 — El Corte Inglés v EUIPO — Brito & Pereira (TINTAS BRICOR)

(Case T-317/21) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Revocation of the contested decision — Action which has become devoid of purpose — No need to adjudicate)

(2022/C 359/90)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: El Corte Inglés, SA (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: J. Rivas Zurdo, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Crespo Carillo, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: Brito & Pereira (Vizela, Portugal)

Re:

By its action based on Article 263 TFEU, the applicant seeks annulment of the decision of the First Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 26 March 2021 (Case R 882/2020-1).

Operative part of the order

1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
2. The European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by El Corte Inglés, SA.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 289, 19.7.2021.

Order of the General Court of 19 July 2022 — Anglofranchise v EUIPO — Bugrey (BOY LONDON)

(Case T-439/21) ⁽¹⁾

(European Union trade mark — Cancellation proceedings — Withdrawal of the application for a declaration of invalidity — No need to adjudicate)

(2022/C 359/91)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Anglofranchise Ltd (London, United Kingdom) (represented by: P. Roncaglia, F. Rossi, N. Parrotta and R. Perotti, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: R. Raponi and J. Crespo Carrillo, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Yuliya Bugrey (Milan, Italy) (represented by: D. Russo, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of the EUIPO of 23 April 2021 (Case R 459/2020-5), relating to cancellation proceedings between Yuliya Bugrey and Anglofranchise.

Operative part of the order

1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
2. Anglofranchise Ltd and Yuliya Bugrey shall bear their own costs and each pay half of the costs incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO).

(¹) OJ C 357, 6.9.2021.

Order of the General Court of 20 July 2022 — Sanoptis v EUIPO — Synoptis Pharma (SANOPTIS)

(Case T-30/22) (¹)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Withdrawal of the application for registration — No need to adjudicate)

(2022/C 359/92)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Sanoptis Sàrl (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: S. Rost, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Gája, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: Synoptis Pharma sp. z o.o. (Warsaw, Poland)

Re:

By its action based on Article 263 TFEU, the applicant, Sanoptis Sàrl, seeks annulment of the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 18 November 2021 (Case R 850/2021-4).

Operative part of the order

1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
2. Sanoptis Sàrl shall pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 119, 14.3.2022.

Action brought on 7 May 2022 — Aziz v Commission

(Case T-266/22)

(2022/C 359/93)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Ahmad Aziz (Pieta, Malta) (represented by: L. Cuschieri, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision number Ares(2022)3227480 of 26 April 2021 of the European Commission, not to rectify personal data of the applicant pursuant to Article 18 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council (¹);