— order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

- 1. First plea in law, alleging that the defendant made a manifest error of assessment by rejecting the applicant's tender and thus infringed Article 168 (6) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046. (2)
- 2. Second plea in law, alleging that the defendant infringed Article 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union by rejecting the applicant's tender and not considering the applicant's explanations regarding the existence and integrity of its technical offer.

 $^{(1)}$ As notified to the applicant by the defendant's letter n^o Ares (2021) 6214855 of 12 October 2021.

Order of the General Court of 27 September 2021 — Stena Line Scandinavia v Commission

(Case T-391/20) (1)

(2021/C 490/63)

Language of the case: English

The President of the Third Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(1) OJ C 279, 24.8.2020.

Order of the General Court of 1 October 2021 — Alliance française de Bruxelles Europe and Others v Commission

(Case T-285/21) (1)

(2021/C 490/64)

Language of the case: French

The President of the Second Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(1) OJ C 278, 12.7.2021.

⁽²⁾ Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union, amending Regulations (EU) No 1296/2013, (EU) No 1301/2013, (EU) No 1308/2013, (EU) No 1308/2013, (EU) No 1308/2013, (EU) No 1316/2013, (EU) No 223/2014, (EU) No 283/2014, and Decision No 541/2014/EU and repealing Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 (OJ 2018 L 193, p. 1-222).