

**Order of the General Court of 8 November 2021 — Satabank v ECB**(Case T-494/20) <sup>(1)</sup>

***(Economic and monetary policy — Prudential supervision of less significant credit institutions — Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 — Specific tasks of the ECB — Refusal to carry out direct prudential supervision — Refusal to give instructions to the Competent Person — Action manifestly lacking any foundation in law)***

(2022/C 37/43)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

**Applicant:** Satabank plc (St Julians, Malta) (represented by: O. Behrends, lawyer)

**Defendant:** European Central Bank (ECB) (represented by: C. Hernández Saseta, F. Bonnard and A. Lefterov, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Action pursuant to Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of the ECB's decision of 15 May 2020 refusing to ensure direct supervision of the applicant and to give instructions to the Competent Person concerning it.

**Operative part of the order**

1. The action is dismissed.
2. Satabank plc shall pay, in addition to its own costs, those incurred by the European Central Bank (ECB).

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 371, 3.11.2020.

**Order of the General Court of 18 November 2021 — RG v Council**(Case T-157/21) <sup>(1)</sup>

***(Action for annulment — Area of freedom, security and justice — Trade and Cooperation Agreement between the European Union and the Euratom, on the one hand, and the United Kingdom, on the other — Council decision on the signing and on provisional application of the Trade and Cooperation Agreement — Mechanism of surrender pursuant to an arrest warrant — Person arrested and detained in Ireland after the end of the transition period for the purpose of the execution of a European Arrest Warrant issued by the United Kingdom during the transition period — Act not of individual concern — Non-regulatory act — Inadmissibility)***

(2022/C 37/44)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

**Applicant:** RG (represented by: R. Purcell, Solicitor)

**Defendant:** Council of the European Union (represented by: A. Antoniadis, J. Ciantar and A. Stefanuc, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Application under Article 263 TFEU for the partial annulment of Council Decision (EU) 2020/2252 of 29 December 2020 on the signing, on behalf of the Union, and on provisional application of the Trade and Cooperation Agreement between the European Union and the European Atomic Energy Community, of the one part, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, of the other part, and of the Agreement between the European Union and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland concerning security procedures for exchanging and protecting classified information (OJ 2020 L 444, p. 2).

**Operative part of the order**

1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
2. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the applications for leave to intervene submitted by Ireland and the European Commission.
3. RG is ordered to pay, in addition to his own costs, those incurred by the Council of the European Union, with the exception of those relating to the applications for leave to intervene.
4. RG, the Council, Ireland and the Commission shall each bear their own costs relating to the applications to intervene.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 288, 14.6.2021.

---

**Order of the Vice-President of the General Court of 26 November 2021 — Puigdemont i Casamajó and Others v Parliament**

(Case T-272/21 R II)

*(Interim measures — Institutional law — Member of Parliament — Privileges and immunities — Waiver of the parliamentary immunity of a Member of the Parliament — Application for suspension of operation — No urgency)*

(2022/C 37/45)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicants:* Carles Puigdemont i Casamajó (Waterloo, Belgium), Antoni Comín i Oliveres (Waterloo), Clara Ponsatí i Obiols (Waterloo) (represented by: P. Bekaert, G. Boye, J. Costa i Rosselló and S. Bekaert, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Parliament (represented by: N. Lorenz, N. Görlitz and J.-C. Puffer, acting as Agents)

*Intervener in support of the defendant:* Kingdom of Spain (represented by: S. Centeno Huerta, acting as Agent)

**Re:**

Application under Articles 278 and 279 TFEU for the suspension of operation of decisions P9\_TA(2021)0059, P9\_TA(2021)0060 and P9\_TA(2021)0061 of the Parliament of 9 March 2021 on the request for waiver of the applicants' immunity.

**Operative part of the order**

1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
2. The costs are reserved.

---

**Order of the President of the General Court of 25 November 2021 — VP v Cedefop**

(Case T-534/21 R)

*(Interim relief — Civil service — Members of the temporary staff — Application for interim measures — Inadmissibility)*

(2022/C 37/46)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* VP (represented by: L. Levi, lawyer)