

Operative part of the order

1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
2. Electrodomésticos Taurus, SL shall pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 240, 20.7.2020.

Order of the General Court of 20 May 2021 — LG and Others v Commission

(Case T-482/20) (¹)

(Action for annulment — Protection of the European Union’s financial interests — OLAF investigation — Legal professional privilege — Act not open to challenge — Preparatory act — Inadmissibility)

(2021/C 278/66)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicants: LG and the five other applicants whose names are listed in the annex (represented by: A. Sigal and M. Teder, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: T. Adamopoulos and J. Baquero Cruz, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of the decision that the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) allegedly adopted tacitly in an email of 26 May 2020, by which OLAF allegedly rejected the claim for protection of the confidentiality of communications between lawyers and their clients regarding communications between the applicants and their lawyers.

Operative part of the order

1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
2. LG and the other applicants whose names are listed in the annex shall bear their own costs.
3. The European Commission shall bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 348, 19.10.2020.

Order of the President of the General Court of 26 May 2021 — OHB System v Commission

(Case T-54/21 R)

(Interim relief — Public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts — Procurement of Galileo transition satellites — Rejection of a tender — Application for interim measures — Prima facie case — Urgency — Balancing of competing interests)

(2021/C 278/67)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: OHB System AG (Bremen, Germany) (represented by: W. Würfel and F. Hausmann, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: G. Wilms, J. Estrada de Solà, L. Mantl and L. André, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application pursuant to Article 278 TFEU for, first, suspension of the operation of the decisions of the European Space Agency (ESA) of 19 and 22 January 2021, acting in the name and on behalf of the Commission, to reject the applicant's tender for public contract 2018/S 091-206089 and to award that public contract to two other tenderers, and, second, for the Commission to be ordered to provide access to the tender documentation.

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim relief is dismissed.
2. The orders of 31 January 2021, *OHB System v Commission* (T-54/21 R), and of 26 February 2021, *OHB System v Commission* (T-54/21 R), are set aside.
3. The costs are reserved, with the exception of those incurred by Airbus Defence and Space GmbH. It shall bear the costs in relation to its application to intervene.

Order of the President of the General Court of 26 May 2021 — Darment v Commission**(Case T-92/21 R)**

(Application for interim relief — Environment — Fluorinated greenhouse gases — Regulation (EU) No 517/2014 — Placing of hydrofluorocarbons on the market — Decision imposing a penalty on an undertaking that exceeded the quota allocated to it — Application for interim measures — No urgency)

(2021/C 278/68)

*Language of the case: English***Parties**

Applicant: Darment Oy (Helsinki, Finland) (represented by: C. Ginter, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: B. De Meester and K. Talabér-Ritz, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 279 TFEU seeking, first, an order requiring the Commission to cease applying to the applicant, as regards the year 2021 and subsequent allocation periods, a penalty under Article 25(2) of Regulation (EU) No 517/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on fluorinated greenhouse gases and repealing Regulation (EC) No 842/2006 (OJ 2014 L 150, p. 195), and, secondly, an order requiring the Commission to allocate to the applicant a quota for the bulk import of hydrofluorocarbons for the 2021 allocation period and subsequent allocation periods.

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
2. The costs are reserved.

Action brought on 27 April 2021 — SE v Commission**(Case T-223/21)**

(2021/C 278/69)

*Language of the case: English***Parties**

Applicant: SE (represented by: L. Levi and M. Vandebussche, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission