

Judgment of the General Court of 13 July 2022 — Pejovič v EUIPO — ETA živilska industrija (TALIS)(Case T-283/21) ⁽¹⁾**(EU trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — EU word mark TALIS — Absolute ground for invalidity — Bad faith — Article 52(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 59(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001))**

(2022/C 340/47)

Language of the case: English

Parties*Applicant:* Edvin Pejovič (Pobegi, Slovenia) (represented by: U. Pogačnik, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Ivanauskas, acting as Agent)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the Court:* ETA živilska industrija d.o.o. (Kamnik, Slovenia) (represented by: J. Sibirčič, lawyer)**Re:**

By its action under Article 263 TFEU, the applicant, Mr Edvin Pejovič, seeks the annulment and alteration of the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 23 March 2021 (Case R 888/2020-4) ('the contested decision').

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 23 March 2021 (Case R 888/2020-4);
2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
3. Orders EUIPO to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by Mr Edvin Pejovič for the purposes of the present proceedings and for the purposes of the proceedings before the Board of Appeal;
4. Orders ETA živilska industrija d.o.o. to bear its own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 278, 12.7.2021.

Judgment of the General Court of 13 July 2022 — Pejovič v EUIPO — ETA živilska industrija (RENČKI HRAM)(Case T-284/21) ⁽¹⁾**(EU trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — EU figurative mark RENČKI HRAM — Absolute ground for invalidity — Bad faith — Article 52(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 59(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001))**

(2022/C 340/48)

Language of the case: English

Parties*Applicant:* Edvin Pejovič (Pobegi, Slovenia) (represented by: U. Pogačnik, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Ivanauskas, acting as Agent)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the Court:* ETA živilska industrija d.o.o. (Kamnik, Slovenia) (represented by: J. Sibirčič, lawyer)

Re:

By its action under Article 263 TFEU, the applicant, Mr Edvin Pejovič, seeks the annulment and alteration of the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 23 March 2021 (Case R 1050/2020-4) ('the contested decision').

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 23 March 2021 (Case R 1050/2020-4);
2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
3. Orders EUIPO to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by Mr Edvin Pejovič for the purposes of the present proceedings and for the purposes of the proceedings before the Board of Appeal;
4. Orders ETA živilska industrija d.o.o. to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 278, 12.7.2021.

Judgment of the General Court of 13 July 2022 — Pejovič v EUIPO — ETA živilska industrija (RENŠKI HRAM)

(Case T-286/21) (¹)

(EU trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — EU word mark RENŠKI HRAM — Absolute ground for invalidity — Bad faith — Article 52(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 59(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001))

(2022/C 340/49)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Edvin Pejovič (Pobegi, Slovenia) (represented by: U. Pogačnik, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Ivanauskas, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the Court: ETA živilska industrija d.o.o. (Kamnik, Slovenia) (represented by: J. Sibinčič, lawyer)

Re:

By its action under Article 263 TFEU, the applicant, Mr Edvin Pejovič, seeks the annulment and alteration of the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 23 March 2021 (Case R 679/2020-4) ('the contested decision').

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 23 March 2021 (Case R 679/2020-4);
2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
3. Orders EUIPO to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by Mr Edvin Pejovič for the purposes of the present proceedings and for the purposes of the proceedings before the Board of Appeal;
4. Orders ETA živilska industrija d.o.o. to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 278, 12.7.2021.