

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the actions;
2. Orders Monster Energy Co. to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 53, 15.2.2021.

Judgment of the General Court of 10 November 2021 — PIK-KO v EUIPO — Haribo Ricqles Zan (P.I.C. Co.)

(Case T-73/21) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — EU figurative mark P.I.C. Co. — Earlier national figurative mark PIK — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001) — Article 53(1)(a) of Regulation No 207/2009 (now Article 60(1)(a) of Regulation 2017/1001) — Declaration of partial invalidity)

(2022/C 11/36)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: PIK-KO AD (Kazichene, Bulgaria) (represented by: A. Ivanova, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: M. Capostagno, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: Haribo Ricqles Zan (Marseilles, France)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 27 November 2020 (Case R 1847/2019-5), relating to invalidity proceedings between Haribo Ricqles Zan and PIK-KO.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders PIK-KO AD to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 138, 19.4.2021.

Action brought on 4 November 2021 — Lyubetskaya v Council

(Case T-556/21)

(2022/C 11/37)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Sviatlana Lyubetskaya (Minsk, Belarus) (represented by: D. Litvinski, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/997 of 21 June 2021 implementing Article 8a(1) of Regulation (EC) No 765/2006 concerning restrictive measures in respect of Belarus, in so far as it concerns the applicant;