

Re:

Action under Article 268 TFEU seeking compensation for the damage allegedly suffered by the applicant as a result of the publication of OLAF press release No 13/2020 of 5 May 2020, in that it unlawfully processed her personal data and conveyed false information about her.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders OC to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 279, 24.8.2020.

Judgment of the General Court of 4 May 2022 — Advanced Superabrasives v EUIPO — Adi (ASI ADVANCED SUPERABRASIVES)

(Case T-4/21) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the EU figurative mark ASI ADVANCED SUPERABRASIVES — Earlier EU figurative and word marks ADI — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001) — Remittal of the case to the Opposition Division — Article 71(1) of Regulation 2017/1001 — Article 27(3)(b) of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/625)

(2022/C 257/40)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Advanced Superabrasives, Inc. (Mars Hill, North Carolina, United States) (represented by: D. Piróg and A. Rytel, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: V. Ruzek, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Adi Srl (Thiene, Italy) (represented by B. Saguatti, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 23 October 2020 (Case R 2713/2019-2), relating to opposition proceedings between Adi and Advanced Superabrasives.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Advanced Superabrasives, Inc. to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 62, 22.2.2021.