

**Operative part of the order**

The Court of Justice of the European Union clearly lacks jurisdiction to answer the questions referred by the Tribunalul Arad (Regional Court, Arad, Romania) by decision of 31 May 2021.

(<sup>1</sup>) Date lodged: 28.6.2021.

---

**Appeal brought on 1 August 2021 by Rezon OOD against the judgment of the General Court delivered on 16 June 2021 in Case T-487/20, Rezon v EUIPO**

**(Case C-476/21 P)**

(2022/C 84/27)

*Language of the case: Bulgarian*

**Parties**

*Appellant:* Rezon OOD (represented by: M. Yordanova-Harizanova, avocat)

*Other party to the proceedings:* European Union Intellectual Property Office

By order of 10 December 2021, the Court (Chamber determining whether appeals may proceed) ordered as follows:

1. The appeal is not allowed to proceed.
2. Rezon OOD shall bear its own costs.

---

**Appeal brought on 26 August 2021 by Republic of Cyprus against the judgment of the General Court (Second Chamber) delivered on 16 June 2021 in Case T-281/19, Cyprus v EUIPO**

**(Case C-538/21 P)**

(2022/C 84/28)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Appellants:* Republic of Cyprus (represented by: S. Malynicz, BL, S. Baran, Barrister, V. Marsland, Solicitor)

*Other party to the proceedings:* European Union Intellectual Property Office

By order of 21 December 2021, the Court of Justice (Chamber determining whether appeals may proceed) held that the appeal was not allowed to proceed and that the Republic of Cyprus should bear its own costs.

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Hof van Cassatie (Belgium) lodged on 4 November 2021 — Verbraeken J. en Zonen BV, PN**

**(Case C-661/21)**

(2022/C 84/29)

*Language of the case: Dutch*

**Referring court**

Hof van Cassatie

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Appellants:* Verbraeken J. en Zonen BV, PN