

By order of 16 June 2021, the Court of Justice (First Chamber) decided that the appeal is dismissed as, in part, manifestly inadmissible and, in part, manifestly unfounded and ordered the appellant to bear her own costs.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Sąd Rejonowy w Nysie (Poland) lodged on 21 December 2020 — Centraal Justitieel Incassobureau, Ministerie van Veiligheid en Justitie (CJIB) v AP

(Case C-699/20)

(2021/C 310/12)

Language of the case: Polish

Referring court

Sąd Rejonowy w Nysie

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Centraal Justitieel Incassobureau, Ministerie van Veiligheid en Justitie (CJIB)

Defendant: AP

By order of 8 June 2021, the Court of Justice (Sixth Chamber) declared the request for a preliminary ruling from the Sąd Rejonowy w Nysie, II Wydział Karny (District Court, Nysa, 2nd Criminal Division) (Poland) to be manifestly inadmissible.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landessozialgericht Nordrhein-Westfalen (Germany) lodged on 4 May 2021 — VA v Deutsche Rentenversicherung Bund

(Case C-283/21)

(2021/C 310/13)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Landessozialgericht Nordrhein-Westfalen

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: VA

Defendant: Deutsche Rentenversicherung Bund

Intervener: RB

Questions referred

1. Is under the legislation of the Netherlands — as the Member State which is competent under Title II of Regulation (EC) No 883/2004⁽¹⁾ — a child-raising period taken into account within the meaning of Article 44(2) of Regulation (EC) No 987/2009⁽²⁾ by virtue of the fact that the period of child-raising in the Netherlands, as a pure period of residence, gives rise to a pension entitlement?

If Question 1 is answered in the negative:

2. Is Article 44(2) of Regulation No 987/2009 — following on from the judgments of 23 November 2000 in Case C-135/99 ⁽³⁾ and of 19 July 2012 in Case C-522/10 ⁽⁴⁾ — to be interpreted broadly as meaning that the competent Member State must also take into account the child-raising period if the person raising the child has completed pension-relevant periods before and after the child-raising due to education or employment only in the scheme of that State, but did not pay contributions into that scheme immediately before or after the child-raising?

⁽¹⁾ Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the coordination of social security systems (OJ 2004 L 166, p. 1).

⁽²⁾ Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 laying down the procedure for implementing Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 on the coordination of social security systems (OJ 2009 L 284, p. 1).

⁽³⁾ EU:C:2000:647, *Elsen*.

⁽⁴⁾ EU:C:2012:475, *Reichel-Albert*.

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landgericht Kleve (Germany) lodged on 14 May 2021 —
AB and Others v Ryanair DAC**

(Case C-307/21)

(2021/C 310/14)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Landgericht Kleve

Parties to the main proceedings

Appellants: AB and Others

Respondent: Ryanair DAC

Question referred

Are Article 5(1)(c) and Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 ⁽¹⁾ to be interpreted as meaning that the operating air carrier must pay compensation in the event of a flight cancellation of which the passenger was not informed at least two weeks prior to the scheduled time of departure, even though the air carrier sent that information in good time before the expiry of two weeks to the only email address communicated to it in the course of the booking, without, however, being aware that the booking had been made via an agent or its internet platform and that the email address communicated by the booking platform could be used at most to contact the agent, and not the passenger directly?

⁽¹⁾ Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 February 2004 establishing common rules on compensation and assistance to passengers in the event of denied boarding and of cancellation or long delay of flights, and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 295/91 (OJ 2004 L 46, p. 1).

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Corte d'appello di Venezia (Italy) lodged on 21 May
2021 — Agecontrol SpA v ZR, Lidl Italia Srl**

(Case C-319/21)

(2021/C 310/15)

Language of the case: Italian

Referring court

Corte d'appello di Venezia