

V

(Announcements)

COURT PROCEEDINGS

COURT OF JUSTICE

Order of the Court (Eighth Chamber) of 6 July 2021 — Marina Karpeta-Kovalyova v European Commission

(Case C-717/20 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeal — Article 181 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Civil service — Members of the contract staff — Spouse of a Greek diplomat who resided in Brussels prior to the recruitment — Definition of the place of recruitment and the centre of interests — Refusal to grant the appellant the expatriation allowance and related benefits — Appeal manifestly unfounded)

(2021/C 391/02)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Marina Karpeta-Kovalyova (represented by: S. Pappas, avocat)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

Operative part of the order

1. The appeal is dismissed as manifestly unfounded.
2. Ms Marina Karpeta-Kovalyova shall bear her own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 329, 16.8.2021.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale ordinario di Pordenone (Italy) lodged on 14 January 2021 — PH v Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia

(Case C-24/21)

(2021/C 391/03)

Language of the case: Italian

Referring court

Tribunale ordinario di Pordenone

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: PH

Defendant: Regione Autonoma Friuli Venezia Giulia

Questions referred

1. Is the ban imposed by Article 2.1 of Legge Regionale Friuli Venezia Giulia n. 5/2011, which introduces coexistence measures that amount to a ban on cultivating maize variety MON 810 in the territory of the region of Friuli Venezia Giulia (Italy), consistent with or contrary to the overall scheme of Directive 2001/18, ⁽¹⁾ particularly in the light of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 ⁽²⁾ and Recommendation 2[0]10/C 200/01 ⁽³⁾?
2. Does that ban also constitute a measure having equivalent effect and is it thus contrary to Articles 34, 35 and 36 TFEU?

⁽¹⁾ Directive 2001/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 March 2001 on the deliberate release into the environment of genetically modified organisms and repealing Council Directive 90/220/EEC — Commission Declaration (OJ 2001 L 106, p. 1).

⁽²⁾ Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 on genetically modified food and feed (Text with EEA relevance) (OJ 2003 L 268, p. 1).

⁽³⁾ Commission Recommendation of 13 July 2010 on guidelines for the development of national co-existence measures to avoid the unintended presence of GMOs in conventional and organic crops (OJ 2010 C 200, p. 1).

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landgericht Köln (Germany) lodged on 22 February 2021 — Deutsche Lufthansa AG v NB

(Case C-108/21)

(2021/C 391/04)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Landgericht Köln

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Deutsche Lufthansa AG

Defendant: NB

Question referred

Does a strike by the air carrier's own employees that is called by a trade union constitute an extraordinary circumstance within the meaning of Article 5(3) of Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 ⁽¹⁾?

The case was removed from the Register of the Court of Justice by order of the President of the Court of 17 June 2021.

⁽¹⁾ Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 February 2004 establishing common rules on compensation and assistance to passengers in the event of denied boarding and of cancellation or long delay of flights, and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 295/91 (OJ 2004 L 46, p. 1).

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landgericht Köln (Germany) lodged on 5 March 2021 — Deutsche Lufthansa AG v ED

(Case C-140/21)

(2021/C 391/05)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Landgericht Köln

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Deutsche Lufthansa AG

Defendant: ED