

Order of the President of the Court of 11 March 2023 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Fővárosi Törvényszék — Hungary) — DIGI Távközlési és Szolgáltató Kft. v Nemzeti Média- és Hírközlési Hatóság

(Case C-460/22, ⁽¹⁾ DIGI)

(2023/C 261/08)

Language of the case: Hungarian

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 432, 14.11.2022.

Order of the President of the Court of 15 March 2023 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Consiglio di Stato — Italy) — Global Starnet Ltd v Ministero dell'Economia e delle Finanze, Agenzia delle Dogane e dei Monopoli, Presidenza del Consiglio dei ministri, interested parties: Sisal Entertainment SpA, Magic Games Sas di A. Malfatti, Magic Games Srl, Codacons

(Case C-463/21, ⁽¹⁾ Global Starnet)

(2023/C 261/09)

Language of the case: Italian

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 412, 11.10.2021.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Verwaltungsgericht Minden (Germany) lodged on 28 March 2023 — M.E.O. v Federal Republic of Germany

(Case C-202/23, Baabda and Others ⁽¹⁾)

(2023/C 261/10)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Verwaltungsgericht Minden

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: M.E.O.

Defendant: Federal Republic of Germany

Questions referred

1. Is Article 33(2)(d) of Directive 2013/32/EU ⁽²⁾ in conjunction with Article 2(q) thereof to be interpreted as precluding a provision of a Member State under which an application for international protection made in that Member State is to be rejected as inadmissible if the applicant previously made an application for international protection in another Member State and the procedure was discontinued by the other Member State because the applicant abandoned the application in that Member State?
2. If Question 1 is to be answered in the negative:

Is Article 33(2)(d) of Directive 2013/32 in conjunction with Article 2(q) thereof to be interpreted as precluding a provision of a Member State under which an application for international protection made in that Member State is to be rejected as inadmissible if the applicant previously made an application for international protection in another Member State and the procedure was discontinued by the other Member State because the applicant abandoned the application in the other Member State, even though the asylum procedure in the other Member State can still be reopened by the other Member State if the applicant makes an application to that effect in the other Member State?