

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: B. De Meester and G. Wils, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 279 TFEU and Article 156 of the Rules of Procedure of the General Court, seeking that the Commission be ordered to transfer 1 154 794 emission allowances to the account of the installation of the applicant by 31 December 2020 at the latest.

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
2. The costs are reserved.

**Order of the President of the General Court of 31 December 2020 — ExxonMobil Production
Deutschland v Commission**

(Case T-731/20 R)

*(Interim measures — Directive 2003/87/EC — Decision 2011/278/EU — System for greenhouse gas
emissions allowance trading — Application for interim measures — No urgency)*

(2021/C 62/46)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: ExxonMobil Production Deutschland GmbH (Hanover, Germany) (represented by: S. Altenschmidt and J. Hoss, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: B. De Meester and G. Wils, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 279 TFEU and Article 156 of the Rules of Procedure of the General Court, seeking that the Commission be ordered to transfer 7 428 258 emission allowances to the account of the installation of the applicant by 31 December 2020 at the latest.

Operative part of the order

1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
2. The costs are reserved.

**Action brought on 17 December 2020 — Unite the Union v EUIPO — WWRD Ireland
(WATERFORD)**

(Case T-739/20)

(2021/C 62/47)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Unite the Union (London, United Kingdom) (represented by: B. O'Connor, Solicitor and M. Hommé, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: WWRD Ireland IPCO LLC (Wilmington, Delaware, United States)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark WATERFORD — European Union trade mark No 397 521

Procedure before EUIPO: Cancellation proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 25 September 2020 in Case R 2683/2019-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- alter the contested decision in such a way as to find that the trade mark WATERFORD should be revoked within the terms of Article 58 EUTMR;
- order EUIPO to pay the applicant's costs.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 58(1)(c) Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 18 December 2020 — Cristescu v Commission

(Case T-754/20)

(2021/C 62/48)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Adrian Sorin Cristescu (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: M.-A. Lucas and P. Pichault, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of 27 February 2020 of the Director-General [*confidential*] ⁽¹⁾ to impose a penalty of reprimand on the applicant;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on nine pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging infringement of the general implementing provisions of 12 June 2019 on the conduct of administrative inquiries and disciplinary procedures ('the GIP'), in so far as the Investigation and Disciplinary Office of the Commission (IDOC) did not assess information indicating a possible breach and the documents supporting that information before the opening of the investigation, nor did it prepare a note on that subject for the appointing authority.