

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant for the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: Application for EU figurative mark (Representation of a round element similar to a brushstroke) — Application for registration No 17 890 405

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 9 March 2020 in Case R 2142/2019-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- admit the application and the documents attached thereto and consider the appeal to have been lodged in due time and form against the contested decision and, after completing the appropriate procedural steps, give judgment upholding the applicant's claims and granting EU trade mark application No 17 890 405.

Plea in law

Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 12 June 2020 — Smiley Miley v EUIPO — Cyrus Trademarks (MILEY CYRUS)

(Case T-368/20)

(2020/C 255/42)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Smiley Miley, Inc. (Nashville, Tennessee, United States) (represented by: J. Devaureix, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Cyrus Trademarks Ltd (Road Town, British Virgin Islands)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union word mark MILEY CYRUS — Application for registration No 12 807 111

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 2 April 2020 in Case R 2520/2018-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- admit the notice of claim, with all documents annexed and the correspondent copies;
- admit all the evidence attached to the writ of notice of claim;

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and eventually the intervener to bear the costs of these proceedings.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 13 June 2020 — EFFAS v EUIPO — CFA Institute
(CEFA Certified European Financial Analyst)

(Case T-369/20)

(2020/C 255/43)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: European Federation of Financial Analysts' Societies (EFFAS) (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) (represented by: S. Merico and G. Macías Bonilla, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: CFA Institute (Charlottesville, Virginia, United States)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union word mark CEFA Certified European Financial Analyst — Application for registration No 14 902 341

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 31 March 2020 in Case R 1082/2019-5

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 11 June 2020 — KL v EIB

(Case T-370/20)

(2020/C 255/44)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: KL (represented by L. Levi and A. Champetier, lawyers)