

3. Against the staff report, the applicant relies on four pleas in law:

- First plea in law, alleging contradictions in EEAS's position;
- Second plea in law, alleging infringement of the duties of impartiality and neutrality;
- Third plea in law, alleging infringement of the duties to provide assistance, to have regard for the welfare of officials and of sound administration;
- Fourth plea in law, alleging a failure to state reasons and infringement of the rights of the defence.

(¹) Confidential information omitted.

Action brought on 28 May 2020 — Czech Republic v Commission

(Case T-335/20)

(2020/C 247/60)

Language of the case: Czech

Parties

Applicant: Czech Republic (represented by: M. Smolek, J. Pavliš, O. Serdula and J. Vláčil, Agents)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul Article 1 of Commission Implementing Decision (EU) CCI 2014CZ06RDNP 001 of 30 March 2020, which suspends interim payments linked to the Rural Development Programme of the Czech Republic for the period 2014-2020 and related to the expenditure incurred in the periods between 16 October 2018 and 31 December 2018 (notified under number C (2020) 1857 final);
- order the European Commission to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on a single plea in law, alleging infringement of Article 41(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the financing, management and monitoring of the common agricultural policy. (¹) The applicant alleges that the Commission incorrectly takes the view that the subsidy to which the suspended payment relates was provided in breach of national legislation. However, there could not have been any infringement of the national legislation concerned since that legislation does not apply to the type of subsidies which the suspended payment concerns.

(¹) OJ 2013 L 347, p. 549.

Action brought on 3 June 2020 — Galván Fernández-Guillén v SRB

(Case T-340/20)

(2020/C 247/61)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: José María Galván Fernández-Guillén (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: M. Romero Rey and I. Salama Salama, lawyers)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board (SRB)