

**Action brought on 9 April 2020 — JE v Court of Justice of the European Union****(Case T-184/20)**

(2020/C 201/48)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* JE (represented by: N. Forwood, QC)*Defendant:* Court of Justice of the European Union**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- partially annul the Decision of the President of the Court of Justice of 31 January 2020 to declare vacant the applicant's post as Advocate-General and to initiate the procedure for the appointment of a successor;
- order the defendant to pay the costs of the proceedings.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant alleges that the challenged act should be annulled on grounds of lack of competence, infringement of an essential procedural requirement, and infringement of the Treaties and rules of law relation to their application.

---

**Action brought on 9 April 2020 — FCA Italy v EUIPO — Bettag (Pandem)****(Case T-191/20)**

(2020/C 201/49)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* FCA Italy SpA (Torino, Italy) (represented by: F. Jacobacci and E. Truffo, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Christoph Bettag (Aachen, Germany)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Applicant of the trade mark at issue:* Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal*Trade mark at issue:* Application for European Union word mark Pandem — Application for registration No 17 297 029*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings*Contested decision:* Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 4 February 2020 in Case R 1483/2019-5**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;

— order EUIPO to pay the costs.

#### **Pleas in law**

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Serious distortion of the facts.

---

### **Action brought on 10 April 2020 — Eternit v EUIPO — Eternit Österreich (Panels)**

**(Case T-193/20)**

(2020/C 201/50)

*Language of the case: English*

#### **Parties**

*Applicant:* Eternit (Kapelle-op-den-Bos, Belgium) (represented by: J. Muyldermans and P. Maeyaert, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Eternit Österreich GmbH (Vöcklabruck, Austria)

#### **Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Proprietor of the design at issue:* Applicant before the General Court

*Design at issue:* European Union design No 2 538 140-0001

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Third Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 5 February 2020 in Case R 1661/2018-3

#### **Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and the intervener to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the applicant.

#### **Plea in law**

- Infringement of Article 6 of Council Regulation (EC) No 6/2002.

---

### **Action brought on 27 March 2020 — JF v EUCAP Somalia**

**(Case T-194/20)**

(2020/C 201/51)

*Language of the case: English*

#### **Parties**

*Applicant:* JF (represented by: A. Kunst, lawyer)

*Defendant:* EUCAP Somalia (Mogadishu, Somalia)