

Action brought on 19 February 2020 — Kampete v Council**(Case T-102/20)**

(2020/C 129/11)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

Applicant: Ilunga Kampete (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/2109 of 9 December 2019, in so far as the applicant's name is maintained at No 1 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP;
- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2101 of 9 December 2019, in so far as the applicant's name is maintained at No 1 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;
- rule that the provisions of Article 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP and Article 2b(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) [No 1183/2005] are unlawful;
- order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law which are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-95/20, *Kazembe Musonda v Council*.

Action brought on 19 February 2020 — Mutondo v Council**(Case T-103/20)**

(2020/C 129/12)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

Applicant: Kalev Mutondo (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/2109 of 9 December 2019, in so far as the applicant's name is maintained at No 12 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP;
- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2101 of 9 December 2019, in so far as the applicant's name is maintained at No 12 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;

- rule that the provisions of Article 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP and Article 2b(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) [No 1183/2005] are unlawful;
- order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law which are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-95/20, *Kazembe Musonda v Council*.

Action brought on 19 February 2020 — Ramazani Shadary v Council

(Case T-104/20)

(2020/C 129/13)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Emmanuel Ramazani Shadary (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2019/2109 of 9 December 2019, in so far as the applicant's name is maintained at No 11 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP;
- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2101 of 9 December 2019, in so far as the applicant's name is maintained at No 11 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;
- rule that the provisions of Article 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP and Article 2b(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) [No 1183/2005] are unlawful;
- order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law which are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-95/20, *Kazembe Musonda v Council*.

Action brought on 19 February 2020 — Ruhorimbere v Council

(Case T-105/20)

(2020/C 129/14)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Éric Ruhorimbere (Mbuji-Mayi, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf and A. Guillerme, lawyers)