Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action in so far as it seeks the annulment of the European Parliament's decision of 24 March 2020 rejecting the tender submitted by Isopix SA in the context of the call for tenders COMM/DG/AWD/2019/854, entitled 'Provision of Photography Services Photographic Coverage of the Current Affairs and Institutional Activities of the European Parliament', and informing it that the contract had been awarded to another tenderer, and of the letter of the Parliament of 17 April 2020 informing Isopix SA of the rejection of its tender submitted in the context of the call for tenders COMM/DG/AWD/2019/854 on the grounds that it did not meet the selection criteria relating to financial and economic standing.
- 2. The action is dismissed as to the remainder for having been brought before a court manifestly lacking in jurisdiction to hear it.
- 3. The Parliament shall bear the costs, including those relating to the proceedings for interim measures.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 191, 8.6.2020.

Order of the General Court of 17 November 2020 — González Calvet v SRB

(Case T-257/20) (1)

(Action for annulment — Economic and monetary policy — Single Resolution Mechanism for credit institutions and certain investment firms (SRM) — Decision refusing to grant financial compensation to the shareholders and creditors concerned — Failure to comply with formal requirements — Article 76(d) of the Rules of Procedure — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2021/C 19/55)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicants: Ramón González Calvet (Barcelona, Spain) and Joan González Calvet (Barcelona) (represented by: P. Molina Bosch, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board (represented by: S. Branca, J. King, L. Forestier and E. Muratori, acting as Agents, and by H.-G. Kamann, F. Louis, V. Del Pozo Espinosa De Los Monteros and L. Hesse, lawyers)

Re:

Application under Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of SRB Decision SRB/EES/2020/52 of 17 March 2020 determining whether compensation needs to be granted to the shareholders and creditors in respect of which the resolution actions concerning Banco Popular Español S.A. have been effected.

Operative part of the order

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action as manifestly inadmissible.
- 2. Declares that there is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application to intervene by the Kingdom of Spain.
- Orders Mr Ramón González Calvet and Mr Joan González Calvet to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the Single Resolution Board (SRB), with the exception of those relating to the application to intervene of the Kingdom of Spain.

EN

4. Orders Messrs González Calvet, the SRB and the Kingdom of Spain to each bear their own costs relating to the application to intervene of the Kingdom of Spain.

(¹) OJ C 209, 22.6.2020.

Order of the General Court of 5 November 2020 — Moloko Beverage v EUIPO — Nexus Liquids (moloko)

(Case T-383/20) (1)

(EU trade mark — Cancellation proceedings — Withdrawal of the application for a declaration of invalidity — No need to adjudicate)

(2021/C 19/56)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Moloko Beverage GmbH (Göppingen, Germany) (represented by: D. Wieland, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Walicka, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Nexus Liquids GmbH (Bad Salzuflen, Germany) (represented by: F. Schembecker, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 18 March 2020 (Case R 1485/2019-5), relating to cancellation proceedings between Nexus Liquids and Moloko Beverage.

Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate in the action.
- 2. Moloko Beverage GmbH and Nexus Liquids GmbH shall bear their own costs and shall each pay half of the costs incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO).

(1) OJ C 262, 10.8.2020.

Order of the President of the General Court of 29 October 2020 — Facebook Ireland v Commission

(Case T-451/20 R)

(Interim proceedings — Competition — Request for information — Article 18(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 — Application for interim measures — Urgency — Prima facie case — Weighing of competing interests)

(2021/C 19/57)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Facebook Ireland Ltd (Dublin, Ireland) (represented by: D. Jowell QC, D. Bailey, Barrister, J. Aitken, D. Das, S. Malhi, R. Haria, M. Quayle, Solicitors and T. Oeyen, lawyer)