

**Re:**

Application under Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of the ECB's assessment of 15 August 2019 in which it found that PNB Banka AS was failing or likely to fail within the meaning of Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 July 2014 establishing uniform rules and a uniform procedure for the resolution of credit institutions and certain investment firms in the framework of a Single Resolution Mechanism and a Single Resolution Fund and amending Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 (OJ 2014 L 225, p. 1).

**Operative part of the order**

1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
2. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application for leave to intervene submitted by the Republic of Latvia.
3. CR and CT shall bear their own costs and shall pay the costs incurred by the European Central Bank (ECB), with the exception of those relating to the application for leave to intervene.
4. CR and CT, the ECB and the Republic of Latvia shall each bear their own costs relating to the application for leave to intervene.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 27, 27.1.2020.

---

**Order of the General Court of 19 January 2022 — FC v EASO**

(Case T-148/20) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Action for annulment — Civil service — Members of the temporary staff — Refusal to provide a certificate of good character — Refusal to accept a withdrawal of the resignation — Purely confirmatory act — Time limit for complaints — Irregularity in the pre-litigation procedure — Inadmissibility — Action for damages — Close link with the claim for annulment — Inadmissibility)*

(2022/C 119/58)

Language of the case: Greek

**Parties**

**Applicant:** FC (represented by: V. Christianos, lawyer)

**Defendant:** European Asylum Support Office (represented by: P. Eyckmans and M. Stamatopoulou, acting as Agents, and by A. Guillerme and T. Bontinck, lawyers)

**Re:**

Application under Article 270 TFEU seeking, first, annulment of EASO's decision of [confidential] refusing to accept the applicant's withdrawal of her resignation and rejecting the request for a certificate of good character and the decision rejecting the applicant's complaint against it and, second, compensation for the material and non-material damage allegedly suffered.

**Operative part of the order**

1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
2. FC shall bear her own costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 175, 25.5.2020.