Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Škoda Auto a.s. (Mladá Boleslav, Czech Republic) (represented by: J. Fesenmair, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 6 October 2020 (Case R 284/2020-4), relating to opposition proceedings between Škoda Investment and Škoda Auto.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Škoda Investment a.s. to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) and Škoda Auto a.s. in the proceedings before the General Court.
- (1) OJ C 35, 1.2.2021.

Judgment of the General Court of 13 October 2021 — Freundlieb v EUIPO (CRYSTAL)

(Case T-732/20) (1)

(EU trade mark — EU word mark CRYSTAL — Failure to apply for renewal of the trade mark registration — Revocation of the trade mark on expiry of the registration — Application for restitutio in integrum — Article 104 of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 — Duty of care — No review — Failure to comply with time limits)

(2021/C 490/48)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Andreas Freundlieb (Berlin, Germany) (represented by: J. Vogtmeier, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: E. Markakis, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 1 October 2020 (Case R 1056/2020-5), relating to an application for *restitutio in integrum* in relation to the right to apply for renewal of the EU word mark CRYSTAL.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Andreas Freundlieb to bear his own costs and pay those incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO).

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 44, 8.2.2021.