

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Calzaturificio Emmeziemme Shoes Srl to pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 378, 9.11.2020.

Judgment of the General Court of 2 February 2022 — Canisius v EUIPO — Beiersdorf (CCLABELLE VIENNA)

(Case T-694/20) (¹)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the EU figurative mark CCLABELLE VIENNA — Earlier EU word mark LABELLO — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)

(2022/C 128/31)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Maria Alexandra Canisius (Vienna, Austria) (represented by: H. Asenbauer, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: T. Frydendahl and D. Hanf, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Beiersdorf AG (Hamburg, Germany) (represented by: J. Fuhrmann and V. von Bomhard, lawyers)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 16 September 2020 (Case R 2233/2019-4), relating to opposition proceedings between Beiersdorf and Ms Canisius.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Ms Maria Alexandra Canisius to pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 28, 25.1.2021.

Judgment of the General Court of 2 February 2022 — Maternus v EUIPO — adp Gauselmann (WILD)

(Case T-116/21) (¹)

(EU trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — EU word mark WILD — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 52(1)(a) and Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 59(1)(a) and Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)

(2022/C 128/32)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Maternus GmbH (Munich, Germany) (represented by: M. Zoebisch and R. Drozd, lawyers)