Judgment of the General Court of 12 January 2022 — 1031023 B.C. v EUIPO — Bodegas San Valero (Representation of a circle drawn by a brush)

(Case T-366/20) (1)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for an EU figurative mark representing a circle drawn by a brush — Earlier national figurative mark ORIGIUM 1944 — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)

(2022/C 119/44)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: 1031023 B.C. Ltd (Richmond, British Columbia, Canada) (represented by: M. González Gordon, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Ivanauskas and V. Ruzek, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Bodegas San Valero, S. Coop. (Cariñena, Spain) (represented by: J. García Domínguez, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 9 March 2020 (Case R 2142/2019-1) relating to opposition proceedings between Bodegas San Valero and 1031023 B.C.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders 1031023 B.C. Ltd to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) and by Bodegas San Valero, S. Coop.

(1) OJ C 255, 3.8.2020.

Judgment of the General Court of 19 January 2022 — Tecnica Group v EUIPO — Zeitneu (Shape of a boot)

(Case T-483/20) (1)

(EU trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — EU three-dimensional mark — Shape of a boot — Declaration of partial invalidity — Absolute ground for refusal — No distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001) — Decisions of EU trade mark courts ruling on an action for a declaration of non-infringement — Res judicata)

(2022/C 119/45)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Tecnica Group SpA (Giavera del Montello, Italy) (represented by: C. Sala, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: A. Söder and V. Ruzek, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Zeitneu GmbH (Zürich, Switzerland) (represented by: K. Dumoulin, F. Hagemann, M. Giorcelli and M. Venturello, lawyers)