

2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
3. Orders Biochange Group GmbH, EUIPO and mysuperbrand GmbH each to bear their own costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 114, 6.4.2020.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 10 February 2021 — Bachmann v EUIPO (LIGHTYOGA)**

**(Case T-153/20) <sup>(1)</sup>**

**(EU trade mark — Application for EU word mark LIGHTYOGA — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)**

(2021/C 110/32)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Gabriele Bachmann (Bad Grönenbach, Germany) (represented by: C. Weil, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: M. Fischer, acting as Agent)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 16 December 2019 (Case R 2346/2019 2), concerning an application for registration of the word sign LIGHTYOGA as an EU trade mark.

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Gabriele Bachmann to pay the costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 191, 8.6.2020.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 10 February 2021 — Bachmann v EUIPO (LICHTYOGA)**

**(Case T-157/20) <sup>(1)</sup>**

**(EU trade mark — Application for EU word mark LICHTYOGA — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)**

(2021/C 110/33)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Gabriele Bachmann (Bad Grönenbach, Germany) (represented by: C. Weil, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: M. Fischer, acting as Agent)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 9 December 2019 (Case R 2317/2019-2), concerning an application for registration of the word sign LICHTYOGA as an EU trade mark.