



Reports of Cases

Order of the Court (Chamber determining whether appeals may proceed) of 23 March 2021 – CEDC International v EUIPO

(Case C-639/20 P)

(Appeal – EU trade mark – Determination as to whether appeals should be allowed to proceed – Article 170b of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice – Request failing to demonstrate that an issue of law is significant with respect to the unity, consistency or development of EU law – Appeal not allowed to proceed)

1. *Appeal – Scheme for prior determination as to whether appeals should be allowed to proceed – Issue that is significant with respect to the unity, consistency or development of EU law – Request that the appeal be allowed to proceed failing to demonstrate that the issue is significant – Appeal not allowed to proceed*

(Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 58a; Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Art. 170a)

(see paras 16, 18-20, 24, 28-30)

2. *Appeal – Scheme for prior determination as to whether appeals should be allowed to proceed – Request that an appeal be allowed to proceed – Formal requirements – Scope*

(Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 58a; Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Art. 170a and 170b)

(see para. 17)

3. *Appeal – Scheme for prior determination as to whether appeals should be allowed to proceed – Issue that is significant with respect to the unity, consistency or development of EU law – Review by the Court of the assessment of the facts and evidence – Precluded*

(Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 58a; Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Art. 170a and 170b)

(see paras 25-27)

Operative part

1. The appeal is not allowed to proceed.
2. CEDC International sp. z o.o. shall bear its own costs.