



## Reports of Cases

### **Order of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 20 May 2021 – ENR Grenelle Habitat and Others**

**(Case C-88/20)<sup>1</sup>**

(Reference for a preliminary ruling – Article 53(2) and Article 94 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice – Fundamental rights – *Ne bis in idem* principle – Joint imposition of administrative and criminal penalties for identical facts – Cold calling – Misleading commercial practice – Insufficient justification for a reference for a preliminary ruling – Manifest inadmissibility)

*Questions referred for a preliminary ruling – Admissibility – Questions referred lacking sufficient information regarding the factual and legislative context and the reasons justifying the need for an answer to the questions referred for a preliminary ruling – Questions submitted in a context which precludes a useful answer – Manifest inadmissibility*

*(Art. 267 TFEU; Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Arts 53(2) and 94)*

*(see paras 28-37, 39, operative part)*

#### **Operative part**

The request for a preliminary ruling submitted by the tribunal correctionnel de Bordeaux (Criminal Court, Bordeaux, France), by decision of 12 December 2019, is manifestly inadmissible.

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 161, 11.5.2020.