

Order of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 10 June 2021 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Sąd Rejonowy dla Warszawy-Woli w Warszawie — Poland) — MN, DN, JN, ZN v X Bank S.A.

(Case C-198/20) ⁽¹⁾

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 99 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Consumer protection — Directive 93/13/EEC — Article 2(b) — Concept of ‘consumer’ — Mortgage loan drawn up in a foreign currency — Articles 3 and 4 — Assessment of the unfairness of a contractual term)

(2021/C 357/04)

Language of the case: Polish

Referring court

Sąd Rejonowy dla Warszawy-Woli w Warszawie

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicants: MN, DN, JN, ZN

Defendant: X Bank S.A.

Intervener: Rzecznik Praw Obywatelskich

Re:

All consumers enjoy the protection laid down by Council Directive 93/13/EEC of 5 April 1993 on unfair terms in consumer contracts, not only those who may be regarded as an ‘average consumer who is reasonably well informed and reasonably observant and circumspect’.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 304, 14.9.2020.

Order of the Court (Eighth Chamber) of 29 June 2021 — Talanton AE — Symvouleftiki-Ekpaideftiki Etaireia Dianomon, Parochis Ypiresion Marketing kai Dioikisis Epicheiriseon v European Commission

(Case C-359/20 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeal — Article 181 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Arbitration clause — Seventh Framework Programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities (2007-2013) — Grant agreement — Non-eligible costs — Recovery decision — Action brought by the recipient before the General Court of the European Union on the basis of Article 272 TFEU — Distortion of the facts — Reasonable period of time — Principle of good faith — Legitimate expectations — Appeal, in part, manifestly inadmissible and, in part, manifestly unfounded)

(2021/C 357/05)

Language of the case: Greek

Parties

Appellant: Talanton AE — Symvouleftiki-Ekpaideftiki Etaireia Dianomon, Parochis Ypiresion Marketing kai Dioikisis Epicheiriseon (represented by: K. Damis and M. Angelopoulos, dikigoroi)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission (represented by: A. Katsimerou and E.A. Stamate, acting as Agents)

Operative part of the order

1. The appeal is dismissed as being, in part, manifestly inadmissible and, in part, manifestly unfounded.

2. Talanton Anonymi Emporiki — Symvouleftiki-Ekpaideftiki Etaireia Dianomon, Parochis Ypiresion Marketing kai Dioikisis Epicheiriseon is ordered to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 320, 28.9.2020

Order of the Court (Tenth Chamber) of 16 June 2021 — *Crédit agricole SA (C-456/20 P), Crédit agricole Corporate and Investment Bank (C-457/20 P), CA Consumer Finance (C-458/20 P) v European Central Bank*

(Joined Cases C-456/20 P to C-458/20 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeal — Article 181 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Economic and monetary policy — Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 — Article 18(1) — Prudential supervision of credit institutions — Conferral of specific tasks on the European Central Bank (ECB) — Infliction of an administrative financial penalty for breach of prudential requirements — Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 — Article 26(3) — Own funds requirements — Capital instruments — Issuance of ordinary shares — Classification as Common Equity Tier 1 (CET 1) — No prior authorisation by the competent authority — Negligent breach)

(2021/C 357/06)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Appellants: Crédit agricole SA (C-456/20 P), Crédit agricole Corporate and Investment Bank (C-457/20 P), CA Consumer Finance (C-458/20 P) (represented by: A. Champsaur and A. Delors, avocats)

Other party to the proceedings: European Central Bank (represented by: C. Hernández Sasetta, A. Pizzolla and D. Segoin, acting as Agents)

Operative part of the order

1. The appeals are dismissed as in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly unfounded.
2. Crédit agricole SA, Crédit agricole Corporate and Investment Bank and CA Consumer Finance shall pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 433, 14.12.2020.

Order of the Court (First Chamber) of 3 June 2021 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Upravno sodišče Republike Slovenije — Slovenia) — J.A. v Republika Slovenija

(Case C-186/21 PPU) ⁽¹⁾

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 99 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court — Immigration and asylum policy — International protection — Directive 2013/33/EU — Point (d) of the first subparagraph of Article 8(3) — Detention of applicants for international protection — Applicant detained subject to a return procedure under Directive 2008/115/EC and in respect of whom there are reasonable grounds to believe that he is making the application for international protection merely in order to delay or frustrate the enforcement of the return decision — Objective criteria on which such grounds may be based — Applicant who has already had the opportunity to access the asylum procedure)

(2021/C 357/07)

Language of the case: Slovenian

Referring court

Upravno sodišče Republike Slovenije