

Action brought on 4 October 2019 — Argyraki v Commission**(Case T-679/19)**

(2019/C 399/118)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Vassilia Argyraki (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: N. de Montigny, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Commission**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the decision of 30 November 2018 taken by the PMO concerning the way in which pension rights are to be calculated, and, in general, the application of the provisions of the Staff Regulations on pension rights in respect of the applicant when she retires;
- Order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action challenging the abovementioned decision of the European Commission's Office for the Administration and Payment of Individual Entitlements (PMO), the applicant relies on three pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging failure to comply with the guidance set out in *Torné* (judgment of 14 December 2018, *Torné v Commission*, T-128/17, EU:T:2018:969);
2. Second plea in law, alleging infringement of Articles 21 and 22 of Annex XIII of the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Union;
3. Third plea in law, alleging unequal treatment.

Action brought on 7 October 2019 – Euroapothea v EUIPO – General Nutrition Investment (GNC LIVE WELL)**(Case T-686/19)**

(2019/C 399/119)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* Euroapothea UAB (Vilnius, Lithuania) (represented by: R. Žabolienė, and E. Saukalas, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)