# Action brought on 26 September 2019 – eSky Group IP v EUIPO – Gröpel (e)

(Case T-646/19)

(2019/C 383/86)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: eSky Group IP sp. z o.o. (Warsaw, Poland) (represented by: P. Kurcman, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Gerhard Gröpel (Straubing, Germany)

## Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union figurative mark e in colours turquoise, white, dark blue, blue and light pink – Application for registration No 16 731 333

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 24 July 2019 in Case R 223/2019-4

## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- annul the decision of Opposition Division of 29 November 2018 adopted in opposition proceedings No B 2 957 168;
- refer the case back to EUIPO;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs of the proceedings before the Opposition Division, Board of Appeal and General Court.

# Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 30 September 2019 - FF Group Romania v EUIPO - KiK Textilien und Non-Food (\_kix)

(Case T-659/19)

(2019/C 383/87)

Language of the case: English

### **Parties**

Applicant: FF Group Romania SRL (Bucharest, Romania) (represented by: A. Căvescu, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: KiK Textilien und Non-Food GmbH (Bönen, Germany)

#### Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

 $Trade\ mark\ at\ issue$ : Application for European Union figurative mark \_kix in colours black, white and green – Application for registration No 12 517 901

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 4 July 2019 in Case R 353/2019-2

# Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs relating to the present action.

## Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 94 of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 95 of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Rule 20(7)(c) of Regulation No 2868/95 (now Article 71(1) of Delegated Regulation (EU) No 2018/625;
- Infringement of Rule 50(1) of Regulation No 2868/95;
- Infringement of the principles of the protection of legitimate expectations, legal certainty, impartiality and equality;
- Infringement of the right to be heard and the right to a fair trial;
- Misuse of powers.

Action brought on 30 September 2019 – Allergan Holdings France v EUIPO – Dermavita (JUVEDERM ULTRA)

(Case T-664/19)

(2019/C 383/88)

Language of the case: English

# **Parties**

Applicant: Allergan Holdings France (Courbevoie, France) (represented by: J. Day, Solicitor)