Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2018/1940 of 10 December 2018, in so far as the applicant remains at No 7 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP;
- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/1931 of 10 December 2018, in so far as the applicant remains at No 7 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;
- rule that the provisions of Article 3(2)(a) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP and Article 2b(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) 1183/2005/EC are unlawful;
- order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-103/19, Mende Omalanga v Council.

Action brought on 20 February 2019 — Amisi Kumba v Council

(Case T-118/19)

(2019/C 139/98)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Gabriel Amisi Kumba (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, M. Forgeois, and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2018/1940 of 10 December 2018, in so far as the applicant remains at No 2 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP;
- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/1931 of 10 December 2018, in so far as the applicant remains at No 2 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;

- rule that the provisions of Article 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP and Article 2b(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) 1183/2005/EC are unlawful;
- order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-103/19, Mende Omalanga v Council.

Action brought on 20 February 2019 — Mutondo v Council

(Case T-119/19)

(2019/C 139/99)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Kalev Mutondo (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, M. Forgeois, and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2018/1940 of 10 December 2018, in so far as the applicant remains at No 14 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP;
- annul Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/1931 of 10 December 2018, in so far as the applicant remains at No 14 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;
- rule that the provisions of Article 3(2)(a) and (b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP and Article 2b(1)(a) and (b) of Regulation (EC) 1183/2005/EC are unlawful;
- order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-103/19, Mende Omalanga v Council.