

*Defendant:* European Research Executive Agency (represented by: V. Canetti and S. Payan-Lagrou, acting as Agents, and C. Wagner and R. van der Hout, lawyers)

**Re:**

By its application under Article 263 TFEU, the applicant seeks annulment of decision Ares(2019) 4590599 of the European Research Executive Agency (REA) of 16 July 2019 rejecting the proposal that it submitted in relation to call for proposals H2020-SC6-Governance-2019.

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Universität Bremen to pay the costs relating to the proceedings brought before the General Court and the European Research Executive Agency (REA) to pay the costs of the appeal proceedings before the Court of Justice.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 399, 25.11.2019.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 22 March 2023 — Tazzetti v Commission**

**(Joined Cases T-825/19 and T-826/19) (<sup>1</sup>)**

***(Environment — Regulation (EU) No 517/2014 — Fluorinated greenhouse gases — Electronic registry for quotas for placing hydrofluorocarbons on the market — Undertakings with the same beneficial owner — Single producer or importer — Act having an adverse effect — Interest in bringing proceedings — Admissibility — Request to modify the application — Inadmissibility — Plea of illegality — Interpretation of an implementing regulation consistent with the basic regulation — Implementing power of the Commission)***

(2023/C 179/35)

*Language of the case: Italian*

**Parties**

*Applicant in Case T-825/19:* Tazzetti SpA (Volpiano, Italy) (represented by: M. Condinanzi, E. Ferrero and C. Vivani, lawyers)

*Applicant in Case T-826/19:* Tazzetti SA (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: M. Condinanzi, E. Ferrero and C. Vivani, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: G. Gattinara and E. Sanfrutos Cano, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

By their actions brought on 4 December 2019 under Article 263 TFEU, the applicants seek annulment, first, of decisions contained in three letters of 27 and 30 September 2019 and in two emails of 6 and 20 November 2019 from the European Commission, made pursuant to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/661 of 25 April 2019 ensuring the smooth functioning of the electronic registry for quotas for placing hydrofluorocarbons on the market (OJ 2019 L 112, p. 11), and, secondly, Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/1604 of 23 October 2020 determining, pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 517/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on fluorinated greenhouse gases, reference values for the period 1 January 2021 to 31 December 2023 for each producer or importer that has lawfully placed hydrofluorocarbons on the market in the Union from 1 January 2015, as reported under that Regulation (OJ 2020 L 364, p. 1).

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Joins Cases T-825/19 and T-826/19 for the purposes of the judgment;

2. Annuls the decisions contained in the second letter sent by the European Commission on 27 September 2019, in the letter of 30 September 2019 of the Commission, and in the email of 20 November 2019 of the Commission addressed to Tazzetti SpA and Tazzetti SA;
3. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
4. Orders the Commission to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by Tazzetti SpA and Tazzetti SA.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 45, 10.2.2020.

**Judgment of the General Court of 29 March 2023 — Nouryon Industrial Chemicals and Others v Commission**

(Case T-868/19) (<sup>1</sup>)

**(REACH — Evaluation of registration dossiers and compliance check of information provided by registrants — Request for further studies for the purposes of the registration dossier for dimethyl ether — Pre-natal developmental toxicity study — Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study — Dose-range finding study — Article 51(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 — Animal testing — Article 25 of Regulation No 1907/2006 — Manifest error of assessment — Proportionality)**

(2023/C 179/36)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicants:* Nouryon Industrial Chemicals BV (Amsterdam, Netherlands), Knoell NL BV (Maarssen, Netherlands), Grillo-Werke AG (Duisburg, Germany), PCC Trade & Services GmbH (Duisburg) (represented by: R. Cana, Z. Romata and H. Widemann, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: R. Lindenthal and K. Mifsud-Bonnici, acting as Agents)

*Interveners in support of the defendant:* Kingdom of Denmark (represented by: M. Søndahl Wolff, acting as Agent), Kingdom of the Netherlands (represented by: M. Bulterman, A. Hanje and J. Langer, acting as Agents), Kingdom of Sweden (represented by: A. Runeskjöld, C. Meyer-Seitz, M. Salborn Hodgson, H. Shev, H. Eklinger, R. Shahsavan Eriksson and O. Simonsson, acting as Agents), European Chemicals Agency (represented by: M. Heikkilä, W. Broere, S. Mahoney and N. Herbatschek, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

By their action based on Article 263 TFEU, the applicants seek annulment of Commission Implementing Decision C(2019) 7336 final of 16 October 2019 on the compliance check of a registration of dimethyl ether, adopted on referral by the European Chemicals Agency, on the basis of Article 51(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH).

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders the applicants to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission, including the costs relating to the proceedings for interim relief;
3. Orders the Kingdom of Denmark, the Kingdom of the Netherlands, the Kingdom of Sweden and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) to bear their own costs.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 68, 2.3.2020.