

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Înalta Curte de Casație și Justiție (Romania) lodged on 15 April 2019 — Consiliul Concurenței v Whiteland Import Export SRL**

(Case C-308/19)

(2019/C 263/32)

*Language of the case: Romanian*

**Referring court**

Înalta Curte de Casație și Justiție

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Appellant:* Consiliul Concurenței

*Respondent:* Whiteland Import Export SRL

**Question referred**

Must Articles 4(3) TEU and 101 TFEU be interpreted as requiring the courts of the Member States to interpret the provisions of national law governing the time-limit on the Competition Authority's right to impose administrative penalties in accordance with the provisions of Article 25(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 <sup>(1)</sup> and as precluding the interpretation of a provision of national law as meaning that an action interrupting the limitation period means only the formal action of initiating the investigation into an anti-competitive practice, without the subsequent actions taken for the purpose of such investigation falling within the same scope of the actions interrupting the limitation period?

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 of 16 December 2002 on the implementation of the rules on competition laid down in Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty (OJ 2003 L 1, p. 1).

---

**Appeal brought on 15 April 2019 by Asociación de fabricantes de morcilla de Burgos against the order of the General Court (Fifth Chamber) delivered on 14 February 2019 in Case T-709/18, Asociación de fabricantes de morcilla de Burgos v Commission**

(Case C-309/19 P)

(2019/C 263/33)

*Language of the case: Spanish*

**Parties**

*Appellant:* Asociación de fabricantes de morcilla de Burgos (represented by: J. J. Azcárate Olano and E. Almarza Nantes, abogados)