

2. Second plea in law, alleging a violation of collective rights, as a result of lack of proper consultation of the ECB Staff Committee in the adoption of the ECB's conditions and rules for short-term employment.

⁽¹⁾ Regulation (EEC, Euratom, ECSC) No 260/68 of the Council of 29 February 1968 laying down the conditions and procedure for applying the tax for the benefit of the European Communities (OJ, English Special Edition 1968 (I), p. 37).

Action brought on 20 August 2018 — Puma v EUIPO — Destilerias MG (MG PUMA)

(Case T-500/18)

(2018/C 373/16)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Puma SE (Herzogenaurach, Germany) (represented by: P. Trieb and M. Schunke, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Destilerias MG SL (Vilanova i la Geltru, Spain)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union trade mark MG PUMA — Application for registration No 15 108 848

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 6 June 2018 in Case R 2019/2017-2.

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs, including those incurred before the Board of Appeal.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Art. 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 22 August 2018 — Pharmadom v EUIPO — IRF (MediWell)

(Case T-502/18)

(2018/C 373/17)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Pharmadom (Boulogne-Billancourt, France) (represented by: M-P. Dauquaire, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: IRF s. r. o. (Bratislava, Slovakia).