# Action brought on 13 July 2018 — Palo v Commission (Case T-432/18)

(2018/C 319/27)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Peeter Palo (Tallinn, Estonia) (represented by: L. Levi and A. Blot, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

## Form of order sought

- annul the defendant's decision of 5 October 2017 not to allocate the applicant the severance grant provided for under Article 12(2) of Annex VIII to the Staff Regulations;
- annul the defendant's decision of 10 April 2018 rejecting the applicant's complaint of 11 December 2017 directed against the abovementioned decision;
- order the defendant to compensate the applicant for the material damage suffered by the applicant;
- order the defendant to compensate the applicant for the non-material damage suffered by the applicant;
- order the defendant to bear all the costs.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law.

- 1. First plea in law, alleging infringement of Article 12(2) of Annex VIII to the Staff Regulations.
- 2. Second plea in law, alleging infringement of the principles of equal treatment and non-discrimination.
- 3. Third plea in law, alleging infringement of the principle of legitimate expectations.
- 4. Fourth plea in law, alleging infringement of the principle of good administration and of the duty of care.

Action brought on 18 July 2018 — Pareto Trading v EUIPO — Bikor and Bikor Professional Color Cosmetics (BIKOR EGYPTIAN EARTH)

(Case T-438/18)

(2018/C 319/28)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Pareto Trading Co., Inc. (Carlstadt, New Jersey, United States) (represented by: I. Sempere Massa, C. Martínez-Tercero Molina, V. Balaguer Fuentes, lawyers)