Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 18(1)(a), in conjunction with Article 64(2) and (3), of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 19(2) and Article 10(3) of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/625, in conjunction with Article 64(2) and (3) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 60(1)(a), in conjunction with Article 8(1)(b) and 8(2)(a)(ii), of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council;
- Infringement of Article 4(3) TEU, in conjunction with the legal principle laid down in Article 59(1)(b) of Council Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 and Article 61(2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 4 July 2018 — Portigon v SRB (Case T-413/18)

(2018/C 294/76)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Portigon AG (Düsseldorf, Germany) (represented by: D. Bliesener and V. Jungkind, lawyers)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board (SRB)

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the defendant's decision of 12 April 2018 concerning the calculation of the ex-ante contributions to the Single Resolution Fund for 2018 (SRB/ES/SRF/2018/03) in so far as the decision concerns the applicant;
- order the defendant to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on seven pleas in law which are, in essence, identical or similar to the pleas in law relied on in Case T-420/17, Portigon v SRB. $\binom{1}{1}$

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2017 C 277, p. 56.