

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law, which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-163/18, *Amisi Kumba v Council*.

Action brought on 8 March 2018 — Kibelisa Ngambasai v Council**(Case T-169/18)**

(2018/C 161/77)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

Applicant: Roger Kibelisa Ngambasai (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, M. Forgeois and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

- Annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2017/2282 of 11 December 2017 amending Decision 2010/788/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo, in so far as the applicant remains at No 6 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP and No 6 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;
- Rule that the provisions of Articles 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP, as amended by Decision 2016/2231/CFSP, and 2b(1)(b) of Regulation [No] 1183/2005/EC are unlawful;
- Order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law, which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-163/18, *Amisi Kumba v Council*.

Action brought on 8 March 2018 — Kande Mupompa v Council**(Case T-170/18)**

(2018/C 161/78)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

Applicant: Alex Kande Mupompa (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, M. Forgeois and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

- Annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2017/2282 of 11 December 2017 amending Decision 2010/788/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo, in so far as the applicant remains at No 10 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP and No 10 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;

- Rule that the provisions of Articles 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP, as amended by Decision 2016/2231/CFSP, and 2b(1)(b) of Regulation [No] 1183/2005/EC are unlawful;
- Order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law, which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-163/18, *Amisi Kumba v Council*.

Action brought on 8 March 2018 — Boshab v Council

(Case T-171/18)

(2018/C 161/79)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Évariste Boshab (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, M. Forgeois and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

- Annul Council Decision (CFSP) 2017/2282 of 11 December 2017 amending Decision 2010/788/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo, in so far as the applicant remains at No 8 in Annex II to Decision 2010/788/CFSP and No 8 in Annex Ia to Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005;
- Rule that the provisions of Articles 3(2)(b) of Decision 2010/788/CFSP, as amended by Decision 2016/2231/CFSP, and 2b(1)(b) of Regulation [No] 1183/2005/EC are unlawful;
- Order the Council to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on four pleas in law, which are in essence identical or similar to those raised in Case T-163/18, *Amisi Kumba v Council*.

Action brought on 8 March 2018 — Akili Mundos v Council

(Case T-172/18)

(2018/C 161/80)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Muhindo Akili Mundos (Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo) (represented by: T. Bontinck, P. De Wolf, M. Forgeois and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Defendant: Council of the European Union