

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 13 December 2017 in Case R 1244/2017-2

### **Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs;
- order EUIPO and Charles Claire LLP to pay the costs incurred by the applicant in the administrative proceedings before EUIPO.

### **Plea in law**

- Infringement of article 8(1)(b) of the Regulation No 2017/1001.

---

**Action brought on 20 February 2018 — Aytekin v EUIPO — Dienne Salotti (Dienne)**

**(Case T-107/18)**

(2018/C 134/54)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English*

### **Parties**

*Applicant(s):* Erkan Aytekin (Ankara, Turkey) (represented by: V. Martín Santos, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Dienne Salotti SRL a socio unico (Altamura, Italy)

### **Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Applicant of the trade mark at issue:* Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

*Trade mark at issue:* Application for EU figurative mark Dienne — Application for registration No 15 080 302

*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 15 December 2017 in Case R 1444/2017-2

### **Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order Applicant/Intervener and/or the EUIPO to pay the costs incurred by the Appellant in connection with this appeal, and all procedural costs generated by EUIPO decisions.

### **Plea in law**

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 2017/1001.
-