

Order of the General Court of 27 February 2019 — SFIE-PE v Parliament**(Case T-401/18) ⁽¹⁾****(Action for annulment — Institutional law — Interpreters' strike — Measures requisitioning interpreters adopted by the European Parliament — Measure not open to challenge — No individual concern — Inadmissibility)**

(2019/C 139/58)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Syndicat des fonctionnaires internationaux et européens — Section du Parlement européen (SFIE-PE) (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: L. Levi, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: O. Caisou-Rousseau and E. Taneva, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Articles 263 and 268 TFEU seeking, first, the annulment of the decision of 2 July 2018 of the Director General of Personnel of the Parliament requisitioning interpreters and conference interpreters for 3 July 2018 and the subsequent decisions of the Director General of Personnel of the Parliament requisitioning interpreters and conference interpreters for 4, 5, 10 and 11 July 2018 and, second, an order that the Parliament make good the non-pecuniary harm caused by those decisions assessed *ex aequo et bono* at EUR 10 000.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *There is no need to adjudicate on the application for leave to intervene made by the Council of the European Union.*
3. *The Syndicat des fonctionnaires internationaux et européens — Section du Parlement européen (SFIE-PE) shall pay the costs, including the costs of the interim proceedings, with the exception of those related to the application for leave to intervene made by the Council.*
4. *The SFPI-PE, the European Parliament and the Council shall each bear their own costs of the application for leave to intervene made by the Council.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 364, 8.10.2018.

Order of the General Court of 28 February 2019 — eSlovensko Bratislava v Commission**(Case T-460/18) ⁽¹⁾****(Action for annulment — Public procurement — Set-off of claims — Action which has become devoid of purpose — No need to adjudicate)**

(2019/C 139/59)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: eSlovensko Bratislava (Bratislava, Slovakia) (represented by: B. Fridrich, lawyer)