Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 18 January 2018 (Case R 812/2017-1), relating to opposition proceedings between Husky of Tostock and Husky CZ.

Operative part of the order

1. The action is dismissed.

2. Husky CZ s.r.o. shall pay the costs.

(¹) OJ C 134, 16.4.2018.

Order of the General Court of 30 November 2018 — Front Polisario v Council

(Case T-275/18) (¹)

(Action for annulment — Euro-Mediterranean Aviation Agreement between the European Community and its Member States, of the one part, and the Kingdom of Morocco, of the other part — Act concluding the agreement — Lack of applicability of the agreement to the territory of Western Sahara — Lack of standing to bring proceedings — Inadmissibility)

(2019/C 44/92)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Front populaire pour la libération de la Saguia el-Hamra et du Rio de oro (Front Polisario) (represented by: G. Devers, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: A. de Elera-San Miguel Hurtado and R. Liudvinaviciute-Cordeiro, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 263 TFEU for the annulment of Council Decision (EU) 2018/146 of 22 January 2018 on the conclusion, on behalf of the Union, of the Euro-Mediterranean Aviation Agreement between the European Community and its Member States, of the one part, and the Kingdom of Morocco, of the other part (OJ 2018 L 26, p. 4).

Operative part of the order

The Court hereby orders:

- 1. The action is dismissed.
- 2. There is no need to adjudicate on the applications for leave to intervene made by the Kingdom of Spain, the French Republic or the European Commission.
- 3. The Front populaire pour la libération de la Saguia-el-Hamra et du Rio de Oro (Front Polisario) shall pay, in addition to its own costs, those incurred by the Council of the European Union.

EN

4. The Front Polisario, the Council, the Commission, the Kingdom of Spain and the French Republic shall each bear their own costs relating to their applications for leave to intervene.

(¹) OJ C 268, 30.7.2018.

Order of the President of the General Court of 28 November 2018 - Klyuyev v Council

(Case T-305/18 R)

(Application for interim measures — Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures taken in view of the situation in Ukraine — Freezing of funds — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — Prima facie case — No urgency)

(2019/C 44/93)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Andriy Klyuyev (Donetsk, Ukraine) (represented by: B. Kennelly QC, J. Pobjoy, Barrister, R. Gherson and T. Garner, Solicitors)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: P. Mahnič and A. Vitro, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application pursuant to Articles 278 and 279 TFEU for the suspension of operation of Council Decision (CFSP) 2018/333 of 5 March 2018 amending Decision 2014/119/CFSP concerning restrictive measures directed against certain persons, entities and bodies in view of the situation in Ukraine (OJ 2018 L 63, p. 48) and Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/326 of 5 March 2018 implementing Regulation (EU) No 208/2014 concerning restrictive measures directed against certain persons, entities and bodies in view of the situation in Ukraine (OJ 2018 L 63, p. 48) and Council Implementing Regulation (EU) against certain persons, entities and bodies in view of the situation in Ukraine (OJ 2018 L 63, p. 5), in so far as they apply to the applicant.

Operative part of the order

- 1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
- 2. The costs shall be reserved.

Order of the President of the General Court of 25 October 2018 — Laboratoire Pareva v Commission

(Case T-337/18 R II)

(Interim relief — Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 — Biocidal products — Active substance PHMB (1415; 4.7) — Approval refused — Application for interim measures — New application — No new facts — Inadmissibility)

(2019/C 44/94)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Laboratoire Pareva (St. Martin de Crau, France) (represented by: K. Van Maldegem and S. Engelbert, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: R. Lindenthal and K. Mifsud-Bonnici, acting as Agents)