Judgment of the General Court of 28 November 2019 — Soundio v EUIPO — Telefónica Germany (Vibble)

(Case T-665/18) (1)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — International registration designating the European Union — Word mark Vibble — Earlier German word mark vybe — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)

(2020/C 27/39)

Language of the case: English

### **Parties**

Applicant: Soundio A/S (Drammen, Norway) (represented by: N. Köster and J. Albers, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Gája and H. O'Neill, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Telefónica Germany GmbH & Co. OHG (Düsseldorf, Germany) (represented by: P. Neuwald, lawyer)

#### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 4 September 2018 (Case R 721/2018-5), relating to opposition proceedings between E-Plus Mobilfunk GmbH and Soundio.

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action.
- 2. Orders Soundio A/S to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 16, 14.1.2019.

Judgment of the General Court of 28 November 2019 — Mélin v Parliament

(Case T-726/18) ( (1))

(Law governing the institutions — Rules governing expenses and allowances payable to Members of the European Parliament — Parliamentary assistance allowance — Recovery of sums unduly paid — Obligation to state reasons — Failure to provide the annex to the decision ordering recovery)

(2020/C 27/40)

Language of the case: French

# **Parties**

Applicant: Joëlle Melin (Aubagne, France) (represented by: F. Wagner, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: S. Seyr and M. Ecker, acting as Agents)

EN

## Re:

Action based on Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of the Decision of the Secretary General of the Parliament of 4 October 2018 concerning the recovery from the applicant of a sum of EUR 130 339,35 unduly paid as parliamentary assistance and the corresponding debit note of 10 October 2018.

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1) Annuls the decision of the Secretary General of the European Parliament of 4 October 2018 concerning the recovery from Joëlle Mélin of a sum of EUR 130 339,35 unduly paid as parliamentary assistance and of the corresponding debit note of 10 October 2018;
- 2) Orders the Parliament to pay the costs.
- (1) (1) OJ C 65, 18.2.2019.

Judgment of the General Court of 28 November 2019 — Runnebaum Invest v EUIPO — Berg Toys Beheer (Bergsteiger)

(Case T-736/18) (1)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the EU word mark Bergsteiger — Earlier Benelux word marks and EU figurative and word marks BERG — Relative ground for refusal — Article 47(1) and (2) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 — Admissibility of a request for proof of genuine use — No likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation 2017/1001)

(2020/C 27/41)

Language of the case: English

### **Parties**

Applicant: Runnebaum Invest GmbH (Diepholz, Germany) (represented by: W. Prinz, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Crespo Carrillo and H. O'Neill, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Berg Toys Beheer BV (Ede, Netherlands) (represented by: E. van Gelderen, lawyer)

### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 22 October 2018 (Case R 572/2018-4) relating to opposition proceedings between Berg Toys Beheer and Runnebaum Invest.