

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls Decision ECB/SSM/2018-FRCAG-75 of the European Central Bank (ECB) of 16 July 2018 in so far as it imposes on Crédit Agricole SA an administrative pecuniary penalty of EUR 4 300 000;
2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
3. Orders Crédit Agricole to bear its own costs;
4. Orders the ECB to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 436, 3.12.2018.

Judgment of the General Court of 8 July 2020 — Crédit agricole Corporate and Investment Bank v ECB

(Case T-577/18) (¹)

(Economic and monetary policy — Prudential supervision of credit institutions — Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 — Administrative pecuniary penalty imposed by the ECB on a credit institution — First subparagraph of Article 26(3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 — Continued breach of capital requirements — Negligent breach — Rights of defence — Amount of the penalty — Obligation to state reasons)

(2020/C 313/35)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Crédit agricole Corporate and Investment Bank (Montrouge, France) (represented by: A. Champsaur and A. Delors, lawyers)

Defendant: European Central Bank (represented by: C. Hernández Saseta, A. Pizzolla and D. Segoin, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of Decision ECB/SSM/2018-FRCAG-76 of the ECB of 16 July 2018, taken pursuant to Article 18(1) of Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013 conferring specific tasks on the ECB concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions (OJ 2013 L 287, p. 63) and imposing on the applicant an administrative pecuniary penalty of EUR 300 000 for continued breach of the capital requirements laid down in Article 26(3) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 (OJ 2013 L 176, p. 1, and corrigenda OJ 2013 L 208, p. 68, and OJ 2013 L 321, p. 6).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls Decision ECB/SSM/2018-FRCAG-76 of the European Central Bank (ECB) of 16 July 2018 in so far as it imposes on Crédit agricole Corporate and Investment Bank an administrative pecuniary penalty of EUR 300 000;

2. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
3. Orders Crédit agricole Corporate and Investment Bank to bear its own costs;
4. Orders the ECB to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 436, 3.12.2018.

Judgment of the General Court of 8 July 2020 — Pablosky v EUIPO — docPrice (mediFLEX easystep)

(Case T-20/19) (¹)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the EU word mark mediFLEX easystep — Earlier EU figurative mark Stepeasy — Relative grounds for refusal — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)

(2020/C 313/36)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Pablosky, SL (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: M. Centell, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Crespo Carrillo, H. O'Neill and V. Ruzek, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: docPrice GmbH (Koblenz, Germany) (represented by: K. Landes, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 8 November 2018 (Case R 77/2018-4), relating to opposition proceedings between Pablosky and docPrice.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 8 November 2018 (Case R 77/2018-4), relating to opposition proceedings between Pablosky, SL and docPrice GmbH, in so far as it concerns 'clothing' and 'headgear' in Class 25 of the Nice Agreement;
2. Dismisses the appeal which docPrice brought before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO in so far as it concerns the goods referred to in point 1;
3. Dismisses the action as to the remainder;
4. Orders each party to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 82, 4.3.2019.