

**Judgment of the General Court of 15 October 2019 — Vans v EUIPO (ULTRARANGE)**(Case T-434/18) <sup>(1)</sup>**(EU trade mark — Application for the EU word mark ULTRARANGE — Absolute ground for refusal — No distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)**

(2019/C 432/51)

Language of the case: German

**Parties***Applicant:* Vans, Inc. (Costa Mesa, California, United States) (represented by: M. Hirsch and M. Metzner, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: E. Markakis, acting as Agent)**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 25 April 2018 (Case R 2544/2017-2), relating to an application for registration of the word sign ULTRARANGE as an EU trade mark.

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Vans, Inc. to pay the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 301, 27.8.2018.

**Judgment of the General Court of 10 October 2019 — Biasotto v EUIPO — Oofos (OO)**(Case T-454/18) <sup>(1)</sup>**(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU figurative mark OO — Earlier EU figurative mark OO — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)**

(2019/C 432/52)

Language of the case: English

**Parties***Applicant:* Alessandro Biasotto (Treviso, Italy) (represented by F. Le Divelec Lemmi, R. Castiglioni and E. Cammareri, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by E. Markakis and H. O'Neill, acting as Agents)*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court:* Oofos, Inc. (Reno, Nevada, United States) (represented by J. Klink, lawyer)