4. Fourth plea in law: the ECB has infringed Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union by denying the applicant access to the documents upon which the ECB based its decision to declare the resolution of the Banco Popular.

Action brought on 22 December 2017 — Quadri di Cardano v Commission

(Case T-828/17)

(2018/C 063/25)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Alessandro Quadri di Cardano (Alicante, Spain) (represented by: N. de Montigny and J.-N. Louis, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

Declare and rule:

- That the decision of the PMO of 28 February 2017 notifying the applicant that the expatriation allowance of 16 % granted to him and the transport expenses which he had received under Article 4 of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations, during the period of employment at EASME, with effect from 16 May 2014, is annulled;
- Insofar as necessary, that the salary slips corrected following the notification of that decision [are annulled];
- That the defendant shall pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

- 1. First plea in law, alleging infringement of Article 85 of the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Union.
- 2. Second plea in law, alleging infringement of the principle of legitimate expectations and the principle of legal certainty, a manifest error of assessment and infringement of the principle of sound administration.

Action brought on 27 December 2017 — Coesia v EUIPO (Representation of a circular shape consisting of two oblique red lines)

(Case T-829/17)

(2018/C 063/26)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Coesia SpA (Bologna, Italy) (represented by S. Rizzo, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: EU figurative mark (Representation of a circular shape consisting of two oblique red lines) — Application for registration No 13 681 151.

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 29 September 2017 in Case R 1272/2017-5.