

Action brought on 20 November 2017 — Meesenburg Großhandel v EUIPO (Triotherm+)**(Case T-760/17)**

(2018/C 022/72)

*Language of the case: German***Parties***Applicant:* Meesenburg Großhandel KG (Flensburg, Germany) (represented by: D. Freiherr von Oldershausen, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)**Details of the procedure before EUIPO***Mark at issue:* EU word mark 'Triotherm+' — Application No 15 186 471*Contested decision:* Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 13 September 2017 in Case R 1786/2016-1**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 21 November 2017 — Grammer v EUIPO (Representation of a geometric figure)**(Case T-762/17)**

(2018/C 022/73)

*Language of the case: German***Parties***Applicant:* Grammer AG (Amberg, Germany) (represented by: J. Bühling and D. Graetsch, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)**Details of the procedure before EUIPO***Mark at issue:* EU figurative mark (Representation of a geometric figure) — Application No 15 389 621*Contested decision:* Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 6 September 2017 in Case R 2250/2016-4**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.