

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- Take note of the lodging of the action for annulment of the Single Resolution Board's decision to seize the shares of Banco Popular and give judgment annulling that decision and, in consequence, returning to my client its Popular shares and other capital instruments or, in the alternative, order the payment of compensation corresponding to the net value of its Popular assets as of 22 May 2017, valued at EUR 26 675 424.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

---

**Action brought on 21 September 2017 — Madrid Diario de la Noche and Others v Commission and SRB****(Case T-639/17)**

(2017/C 412/49)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

*Applicants:* Madrid Diario de la Noche (Madrid, Spain) and 24 other applicants (represented by: B. Cremades Roman, F. Orts Castro, J. López Useros, S. Cajal Martín and P. Marrodán Lázaro, lawyers)

*Defendants:* European Commission and Single Resolution Board

**Form of order sought**

The applicants claim that the General Court should:

- Acknowledge the lodging of the present application and its supporting documents, as well as the claims it contains;
- Annul Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 of SRB and Commission Decision (EU) 2017/1246, both adopted on 7 June 2017 and, consequently, order SRB and the European Commission to refund to the applicants their investments in Banco Popular or, in the alternative, order SRB and the Commission to pay damages to the applicants on grounds of non-contractual liability;
- Order SRB and the European Commission to pay the costs of the present proceedings;
- Declare the valuation carried out by SRB's independent expert invalid and, following the calculation of the net value of the assets of Banco Popular, order SRB and the European Commission to pay compensation to the applicants in the terms set out in the present application.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

---

### Action brought on 4 October 2017 — Amorepacific v EUIPO — Primavera Life (p primera Pure Sprout Energy)

(Case T-684/17)

(2017/C 412/50)

*Language in which the application was lodged: German*

### Parties

*Applicant:* Amorepacific Corporation (Seoul, Korea) (represented by: B. Führmeyer and F. Klein, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Primavera Life GmbH (Oy-Mittelberg, Germany)

### Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

*Applicant for the trade mark at issue:* Applicant

*Trade mark at issue:* EU figurative mark containing the word elements ‘p primera Pure Sprout Energy’ — Application for registration No 13 151 683

*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 21 July 2017 in Case R 1744/2016-4

### Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- amend the contested decision by rejecting the opposition in its entirety;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs of the proceedings, including those incurred in the appeal proceedings.

### Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

---

### Action brought on 6 October 2017 — Hola v SRB

(Case T-688/17)

(2017/C 412/51)

*Language of the case: Spanish*

### Parties

*Applicant:* Hola, SL (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: R. Vallina Hoset and C. Iglesias Megías, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Single Resolution Board