

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible in so far as it is directed against the Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA).*
2. *The action is dismissed in part as manifestly inadmissible and in part as manifestly lacking any foundation in law in so far as it is directed against the European Commission.*
3. *The application for legal aid is rejected.*
4. *Mr Rinaldo Pilla la shall pay the costs.*

(¹) OJ C 6, 9.1.2017.

Order of the President of the General Court of 13 November 2017 — Romania v Commission

(Case T-391/17 R)

(Interim measures — Institutional law — European citizens' initiative — Protection of national and linguistic minorities and enhancement of cultural and linguistic diversity in the Union — Principle of conferral — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — No urgency)

(2018/C 013/31)

Language of the case: Romanian

Parties

Applicant: Romania (represented by: R.-H Radu, C.-M. Florescu, E. Gane and L. Lițu, acting as Agents)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: H. Krämer and L. Radu Bouyon, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Articles 278 and 279 TFEU seeking suspension of the operation of Commission Decision (EU) No 2017/652 of 29 March 2017 on the proposed citizens' initiative entitled 'Minority SafePack — one million signatures for diversity in Europe' (OJ 2017 L 92, p. 100).

Operative part of the order

1. *The application for interim measures is dismissed.*
2. *Costs are reserved.*

Action brought on 8 September 2017 — Activa Minoristas del Popular v ECB and SRB

(Case T-618/17)

(2018/C 013/32)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Activa Minoristas del Popular. Asociación para la tutela de los inversores minoristas afectados por la resolución, supervisión y gestión del Banco Popular (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: C. Arredondo Diaz, lawyer)

Defendants: European Central Bank and Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- Declare the present action admissible;
- Declare that the defendant has a right of access to the file on which the contested resolutions are based;
- Annul the contested decision of the Single Resolution Board and declare that it is null and void as from the date of its adoption and, in the alternative, if annulment is impossible, declare that the applicant is entitled to compensation for the harm caused by that decision;
- Order the defendants to pay the costs incurred by the applicant, as well as the costs that it may incur up to the General Court's final ruling.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 13 October 2017 — Spinoit v Commission and Others

(Case T-711/17)

(2018/C 013/33)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Bernard Spinoit (Charleroi, Belgium) (represented by: H. Hansen, lawyer)

Defendants: European Commission and European External Action Service

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare the present application admissible and well-founded;

accordingly,

- annul the undated decision signed electronically on 3 August 2017, entitled 'Request for replacement of senior expert No 3 of contract ENPI/2016/381-920 SOFRECO "Technical assistance recruitment for the programme to support the implementation of the Association Agreement (P3A III)";
- declare that full compensation is necessary for the material and non-material damage caused to the claimant by the serious violation of the right to good administration consisting in the adoption of the undated decision signed electronically on 3 August 2017, entitled 'Request for replacement of senior expert No 3 of contract ENPI/2016/381-920 SOFRECO "Technical assistance recruitment for the programme to support the implementation of the Association Agreement (P3A III)";