

6. Sixth plea in law, alleging absence of response by the Parliament to the applicant's request of assistance under Article 24, breach of the right to the defence, breach of the right to conciliation.
7. Seventh plea in law, alleging breach of the right of access to the documents against the applicant.
8. Eighth plea in law, alleging misuse of powers.
9. Ninth plea in law, alleging abusive dismissal.

Action brought on 6 August 2017 — Gestvalor 2040 and Others v SRB

(Case T-520/17)

(2017/C 402/52)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicants: Gestvalor 2040, SL (Madrid, Spain) and 596 other applicants (represented by: P. Rúa Sobrino, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the General Court should take note of the annulment proceedings against the 'Decision of the Single Resolution Board made at the Executive Session on 7 June 2017 relating to the resolution plan of Banco Popular Español, S.A., legal person identification No 80H66LPTVDLM0P28XF25, addressed to the FROB (SRB/EES/2017/08)' and, upon the completion of the relevant procedures;

- Annul the contested Decision;
- Declare Articles 18 and 29 of Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 illegal and inapplicable;
- Order the Single Resolution Board to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 16 August 2017 — Cambra Abaurrea v Parliament and Others

(Case T-553/17)

(2017/C 402/53)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Agustín Cambra Abaurrea (Marcilla, Spain) (represented by: A. Mayayo Martínez, lawyer)

Defendants: European Parliament, Council of the European Union and Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- Take note of the lodging in due form and within the prescribed period of an action for annulment before the General Court of the European Union against the implementation of the decision of the Single Resolution Board, an implementing decision which has caused significant harm to the assets of the former and legitimate shareholders and debt holders of the Banco Popular, and deliver a judgment in due course annulling that decision;
- In the alternative, declare with immediate effect the suspension of the implementation carried out by the Single Resolution Board and the FROB (Fund for Orderly Bank Restructuring), given that its validity and entry into force results in harm to the assets of the Banco Popular's shareholders, who have lost that status, which it is difficult or impossible to remedy.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 29 August 2017 — Alonso Goñi and Others v SRB

(Case T-585/17)

(2017/C 402/54)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicants: Pablo Alonso Goñi (Legutio, Spain), Xavier Alonso Vicinay (Legutio), Leire Alonso Vicinay (Legutio) (represented by: R. García-Bragado Acín, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the General Court should:

- Take note of this action for annulment of Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 of 7 June 2017 concerning the resolution of Banco Popular, as well as the valuation on which it is based and, once the relevant verifications have been completed, declare the action admissible and follow the procedure set out in Articles 120 et seq. of the Rules of Procedure of the General Court;
- Given that it is practically impossible to reverse the implementation of that decision, declare that SRB is under an obligation to make good the damage caused to the applicants, which corresponds to the amount of their investment or the amount determined at the time of enforcement of the judgment;
- Order the Single Resolution Board to pay the costs.