

- In the alternative, annul Decision SRD/EES/2017/08 of the Single Resolution Board, which it adopted on 7 June 2017, putting the institution Banco Popular Español S.A. under resolution as of 7 June 2017 and declare that the Board is responsible for compensating the applicants in the amounts resulting from the multiplication of the number of their shares by the final listing price prior to Decision SRD/EES/2017/08.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The present action concerns the Decision of the Single Resolution Board of 7 June 2017 (SRB/EES/2017/08) allowing the resolution of the Banco Popular Español, S.A.

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 9 August 2017 — Promociones Santa Rosa v SRB

(Case T-531/17)

(2017/C 369/33)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Promociones Santa Rosa, S.L. (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: L. Carrión Matamoros, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- Annul Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 of 7 June (non-confidential version) of the Executive Committee of the Single Resolution Board adopting the resolution scheme of the Banco Popular Español, S.A., on the basis of infringement of Articles 7, 18(1) and 20 of Regulation (EU) No 806/2014, as well as the artificial alteration of the immediate causes which resulted in the institution's resolution.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 7 August 2017 — Asociación de Consumidores de Navarra 'Irache' v SRB

(Case T-535/17)

(2017/C 369/34)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Asociación de Consumidores de Navarra 'Irache' (Pamplona, Spain) (represented by: J. Sanjurjo San Martín, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Annul the contested decision, declare the transactions carried out under it ineffective and order the return of the property of the Banco Popular Español, S.A. to the shareholders and bond-holders concerned, putting them back in the position they were in before the intervention;
- If that is not possible, declare in any event that the conversion of the bonds into shares is ineffective, maintaining bond-holders in the same position as they were in on 6 June 2017 and order the payment of compensation to shareholders by payment corresponding to the actual value of the bank and, accordingly, of the shares on 30 June 2016.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 11 August 2017– EIB v Syria

(Case T-539/17)

(2017/C 369/35)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: European Investment Bank (represented by: P. Chamberlain, T. Gilliams, J. Shirran and F. de Borja Oxangoiti Briones agents, D. Arts, lawyer and T. Cusworth, solicitor)

Defendant: Syrian Arab Republic

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should impose on Syria the order:

- for payment of all sums due to the EU under Articles 3.01, 3.02, 4.01, 8.01 and 8.02 of the Al Thawra Loan Agreement in its right of subrogation comprising:
 - 404 792,06 euro, 954 331,07 pounds sterling (GBP), 29 130 433,00 Japanese yen (JPY) and 1 498 184,58 American dollar (USD), the amount due to the EU as at 9 August 2017, which is all principal, interest and contractual default interest (accrued from the due date to 9 August 2017);
 - further contractual default interest, accruing at the annual rate specified in Article 3.02, until payment is made;
 - all applicable taxes, duties, fees and professional costs accruing from the due date until payment is made, including costs related to the present proceedings.
- In the alternative to the order above, and if the Court finds that the EU is not subrogated to the rights of the Bank, payment of all sums due to the Bank under Articles 3.01, 3.02, 4.01, 8.01 and 8.02 of the Al Thawra Loan Agreement comprising:
 - 404 792,06 euro, 954 331,07 GBP, 29 130 433,00 JPY and 1 498 184,58 USD, the amount due to the Bank as at 9 August 2017, which is all principal, interest and contractual default interest (accrued from the due date to 9 August 2017);