

2. The second plea in law is based on a failure to state reasons, error as to the facts and the contested decision's breach of the principle of proportionality in the part thereof that rejects the accurate calculation of the financial effect of the identified failings (were they to be real) which was established by the Greek authorities, given the recommendations of the Conciliation Body to the Commission.

---

**Action brought on 3 August 2017 — UE v Commission**

**(Case T-487/17)**

(2017/C 357/24)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* UE (represented by: S. Rodrigues and A. Tymen, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Commission

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the European Commission's decision dated 4 October 2016 rejecting the applicant's requests dated 14 October 2013;
- if needed, annul the European Commission's decision dated 26 April 2017 rejecting the applicant's complaint dated 5 January 2017;
- compensate the applicant for the moral and material prejudiced suffered by the fault of the defendant, evaluated at the sum of 120 000 euro (moral harm), 748 800 (loss of earnings), and 576 000 euro (loss of pension);
- compensate the applicant for the harm caused by the course and the outcome of the inquiry on the issue of harassment, evaluated at the sum of 50 000 euro;
- reimburse the applicant's incurred costs.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant relies on two pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging violation of the principle of sound administration, violation of Article 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, violation of the right to be heard and violation of the adversarial principle.
2. Second plea in law, alleging a manifest error of assessment, factual errors and a violation of Article 35, second sentence of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.

---

**Action brought on 7 August 2017 — Corra González and Others v SRB**

**(Case T-511/17)**

(2017/C 357/25)

*Language of the case: Spanish*

**Parties**

*Applicants:* José María Francisco Corra González (Madrid, Spain) and seven other applicants (represented by: C. de Santiago Álvarez and J. Redondo Martín, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Single Resolution Board