Action brought on 7 February 2017 — PepsiCo v EUIPO — Intersnack Group (Exxtra Deep) (Case T-82/17)

(2017/C 121/54)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: PepsiCo, Inc. (New York, New York, United States) (represented by: V. von Bomhard and J. Fuhrmann, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Intersnack Group GmbH & Co. KG (Düsseldorf, Germany)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: EU word mark 'Exxtra Deep' — EU trade mark No 12 161 981

Procedure before EUIPO: Proceedings for a declaration of invalidity

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 24 November 2016 in Case R 482/2016-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO and the intervener to pay the costs of the applicant.

Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 8 February 2017 — Heineken Romania v EUIPO — Lénárd (Csíki Sör) (Case T-83/17)

(2017/C 121/55)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Heineken Romania SA (Bucharest, Romania) (represented by: A.-M. Baciu, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: András Lénárd (Sincraieni, Romania)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: EU word mark 'Csíki Sör' — Application for registration No 12 105 839

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 14 November 2016 in Case R 1310/2015-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- annul the decision of the Opposition Division of July 1st, 2015 in Opposition B 002 279 514;
- upheld the opposition B 002 279 514;
- reject the European Union trade mark application No 012 105 839 'Csíki Sör' in its entirety.

Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 13 February 2017 — Gelinova Group v EUIPO — Cloetta Italia (galatea...è naturale)

(Case T-90/17)

(2017/C 121/56)

Language in which the application was lodged: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Gelinova Group Srl (Tezze di Vazzola, Italy) (represented by: A. Tornato and D. Hazan, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Cloetta Italia Srl (Cremona, Italy)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant for the trade mark at issue: Applicant

Trade mark at issue: EU figurative mark containing the word elements 'galatea...è naturale' — Application for registration No 13 187 695

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 12 December 2016 in Case R 207/2016-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Plea in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 14 February 2017 — Duferco Long Products v Commission

(Case T-93/17)

(2017/C 121/57)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Duferco Long Products SA (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: J.-F. Bellis, R. Luff and M. Favart, lawyers)