

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: L. Darie and R. Ignătescu, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 270 TFEU and seeking, on the one hand, the annulment, first, of the decision of the Secretary General of the Parliament of 30 January 2017 transferring the applicant within that institution, secondly, of the decision of the President of the Parliament of 20 July 2017 rejecting the applicant's complaint and, if necessary, the decision of the Secretary General of the Parliament of 12 July 2017 seconding the applicant, in the interest of the service, to the European Commission and, on the other hand, damages in respect of the harm which the applicant claims to have suffered.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible in so far as it seeks the annulment of the decision of the Secretary General of the European Parliament of 12 July 2017.*
2. *There is no further need to adjudicate on the application for annulment of the decision of the Secretary General of the Parliament of 30 January 2017 and on the decision of 20 July 2017 rejecting the complaint brought by Mr Oliver Dreute against the latter.*
3. *The claim for compensation is rejected as manifestly lacking any foundation in law.*
4. *Mr Dreute is ordered to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 13, 15.1.2018.

Order of the General Court of 24 September 2018 — Estampaciones Rubí v Commission

(Case T-775/17) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment and for failure to act — State aid — State aid — Tax advantages granted by a territorial entity within a Member State — Aid scheme declared to be incompatible with the internal market — Implementation of the decision — Obligation to examine the individual situation of the recipients — Commission's failure to adopt a position — Act not open to challenge — Inadmissibility)

(2018/C 427/101)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Estampaciones Rubí, SAU (Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain) (represented by: D. Armesto Macías and K. Caminos García, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: B. Stromsky and P. Němečková, acting as Agents)

Re:

Principally, application on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of the decisions of the Commission contained in the documents of 4 December 2012 and 26 March 2013, entitled 'Basque tax disputes — Proceedings for failure to fulfil obligations 2007/2215 — Informal message in response to the letter of 7 November (Álava)' and 'Basque tax disputes — Proceedings for failure to fulfil obligations 2007/2215 (Álava) — Informal message in response to the letters sent on 22 February and 4 and 12 March 2013 (Álava)' and, in the alternative, application on the basis of Article 265 TFEU seeking a finding that the Commission unlawfully failed to respond to the applicant's request set out in its letter of 28 July 2017.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed in its entirety as inadmissible.*
2. *Estampaciones Rubí, SAU shall bear its own costs and shall pay the costs incurred by the European Commission.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 32, 29.1.2018.

**Order of the General Court of 20 September 2018 — *Správa železniční dopravní cesty v
Commission and INEA***

(Case T-815/17) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Financial assistance — Project of common interest in the field of trans-European transport and energy networks — Preliminary planning services for the new Dresden-Prague high-speed rail line — Decision on eligible costs — Incorrect identification of the defendant — Not directly affected — Inadmissibility)

(2018/C 427/102)

Language of the case: Czech

Parties

Applicant: Správa železniční dopravní cesty, státní organizace (Prague, Czech Republic) (represented by: F. Korbel, lawyer)

Defendants: European Commission (represented by: J. Hottiaux and Z. Malůšková, acting as Agents), Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (represented by: I. Ramallo and I. Barcew, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of INEA's letter of 11 October 2017 relating to the final financial statement of the eligibility of the sums claimed from the EU financial assistance granted to the project of common interest 'Services relating to the preliminary planning of a new Dresden-Prague high-speed rail line'.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *There is no need to adjudicate on the applications for leave to intervene made by the Czech Republic and the Republic of Poland.*
3. *Správa železniční dopravní cesty, státní organizace, shall bear its own costs and shall pay those incurred by the European Commission and the Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA).*
4. *Správa železniční dopravní cesty, státní organizace, the Commission, INEA, the Czech Republic and the Republic of Poland shall each bear their own costs connected with the applications for leave to intervene.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 63, 19.2.2018.