

3. The Kingdom of Denmark is to bear its own costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 63, 27.2.2017.

---

**Order of the General Court of 13 December 2018 — Bowles v ECB**

(Case T-447/17) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Civil Service — ECB Staff — Appointment decision concerning the post of Adviser to the President and Coordinator of the Counsel to the Executive Board — No act adversely affecting the applicant — No interest in bringing proceedings — Action in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly lacking any foundation in law)**

(2019/C 65/45)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Carlos Bowles (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) (represented by: L. Levi, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Central Bank (represented by: B. Ehlers and F. Malfrère, acting as Agents, and B. Wägenbaur, lawyer)

**Re:**

Action under Article 270 TFEU and Article 50a of the Statute of the Court of Justice of the European Union seeking, first, the annulment (i) of the decision of the Executive Board of the ECB of 31 January 2017 to appoint M.S. to the post of Adviser to the President and Coordinator of the Counsel to the Executive Board (ii) of the decision not to appoint the applicant to that post and (iii) of the decision not to allow the applicant to apply for that post and, secondly, compensation for the damage which the applicant claims to have suffered.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The action is dismissed as being in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly lacking any foundation in law.*
2. *Mr Carlos Bowles shall pay the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 347, 16.10.2017.

---

**Order of the General Court of 11 December 2018 — CheapFlights International v EUIPO — Momondo Group (Cheapflights)**

(Case T-565/17) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU figurative mark Cheapflights — Referral of the trade mark application to the examiner for examination of the absolute grounds for refusal — Challenge by the proprietor of the earlier mark — Grounds of the contested decision containing an assessment of the validity of the earlier mark — Challenge by the proprietor of the earlier mark — Partial inadmissibility — Incidental submissions made on the basis on Article 8(3) of Regulation (EC) No 216/96 — Withdrawal of the appeal before the Board of Appeal — No need to adjudicate in part)**

(2019/C 65/46)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* CheapFlights International Ltd (Speenoge, Ireland) (represented by: A. von Mühlendahl and H. Hartwig, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: A. Folliard-Monguiral, acting as Agent)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO:* Momondo Group Ltd (London, United Kingdom)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Grand Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 1 June 2017 (R 1893/2011-G) relating to opposition proceedings between CheapFlights International and Momondo Group.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action in so far as it is directed against the closure of the appeal proceedings by the decision of the Grand Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 1 June 2017 (Case R 1893/2011-G) with regard to the goods and services in Classes 9, 16, 35 and 42 in respect of which the Opposition Division had rejected the opposition of CheapFlights International Ltd.*
2. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible as to the remainder.*
3. *CheapFlights International shall pay, in addition to its own costs, half of the costs incurred by EUIPO.*
4. *EUIPO shall bear half of its own costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 347, 16.10.2017.

---

**Order of the General Court of 13 December 2018 — Euracoal and Others v Commission**

**(Case T-739/17) <sup>(1)</sup>**

**(Action for annulment — Environment — Directive 2010/75/EU — Best available techniques conclusions — Implementing Decision (EU) 2017/1442 — Lack of direct concern — Inadmissibility)**

(2019/C 65/47)

*Language of the case: German*

**Parties**

*Applicants:* Association européenne du charbon et du lignite (Euracoal) (Woluwe-Saint-Pierre, Belgium), Deutscher Braunkohlen-Industrie-Verein eV (Cologne, Germany), Lausitz Energie Kraftwerke AG (Cottbus, Germany), Mitteldeutsche Braunkohlengesellschaft mbH (Zeitz, Germany), eins energie in sachsen GmbH & Co. KG (Chemnitz, Germany) (represented by: W. Spieth and N. Hellermann, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: A. Becker and K. Petersen, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Action under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2017/1442 of 31 July 2017 establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions, under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, for large combustion plants (OJ 2017 L 212, p. 1).

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The action is dismissed as being inadmissible.*